Submission on Notified Proposal for Plan, Change or Variation

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

10: Hmaru District Council	
Name of submitter:	
Rodney 4 Tania [State full name]	Coles
This is a submission on the following p the following proposed variation to a to an existing plan) (the 'proposal'):	proposed plan <i>or</i> on a change proposed to the following plan <i>or</i> on proposed plan <i>or</i> on the following proposed variation to a change
He Po. He Ao [State the name of proposed or existing plan and	ka Awatea nd (where applicable) change or variation].
I could/could not* gain an advantage i [*Select one.]	in trade competition through this submission.
(a) adversely affects the environm	
	vitition or the offacts of trade competition. Ou could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
[†Select one.]	a could not gain an davantage in trade competition through this submission.
SASM RG-	that my submission relates to are: [Give details] 1. S. L. SASMIT, SASMIT 1. Oppose
for your views]	port or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and reasons repared or changed using the collaborative planning process, you must indicate the
 Where you consider that the proposed should be modified; or 	plan or change fails to give effect to a consensus position and therefore how it
	Schedule of Sites + Areas of Significance to Kati Huirage
5ASMIT - Awaru Much of the There is head Which applies to not reasonable	a Stream on our place is dry for lear only flowing when y rain, To have a rule
SASMI - The completly accur of significance whole properties Doc # 636102 the land.	areas of interest shauld be ate as should the areas for this be protected. By blanketing es this effectively devaluing

Our land follows the Opula river and doe not have any streams running into the Kakabu river.
I seek the following decision from the local authority: [Give precise details as this is the only part of your submission that will be summarised in the summary of decisions requested] We are I Caneration on this land and are forming it as Sustainable manner and will continue to do so making improvements as Ne Can. By blanketing the Whole area including our proper it appears 1974. The specific areas of significance need to be identified so they can protected and caved to requisite the analysis of blanket approach. The taxoning sector has been bomb-barded with so many changes and regulators and here is yet another set of stresstul rules which is not wish (acta not wish) to be heard in support of my submission. [*In the case of a submission made on a proposed planning instrument that is subject to a streamlined planning process, you need only indicate whether you wish to be heard if the direction specifies that a hearing will be held.]
*If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. [*Delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case.]
Signature of submitter (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) [A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means]
Date 12 December 2022 Electronic address for service of submitter: fire Coles arural net. co.nz. Telephone: 6147454
Postal address (or alternative method of service under s352 of the Act): 17 Mc Master Road 12 RD Pleas and Point Contact person: [name and designation if applicable] Roane Coles A Coles
ı

Note to person making submission

- 1. If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B. If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.
- 2. Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):
 - It is frivolous or vexatious:
 - It discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
 - It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
 - It contains offensive language:
 - It is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared
 by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialist knowledge or skill to give
 expert advice on the matter.