## Submission on Notified Proposal for Plan, Change or Variation Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991 RECEIVED

To: Timaru District Council	- 0 DEC 2022
Name of submitter:	BY:
Janice Anne Hutchison [State full name]	ets entitional of the state of
This is a submission on the following proposed plan <i>or</i> on a change proposed to the following proposed variation to a proposed plan <i>or</i> on the following proposed to an existing plan) (the 'proposal'):  SASM-4  [State the name of proposed or existing plan and (where applicable) change or variation].	e following plan <i>or</i> on
I eouid/could not* gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission [*Select one.]	l.
*I am/am not† directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.  [*Delete or strike through entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through the competition of the competition of the competition of the competition through the competition of the competition of the subject matter of the submission of the su	
The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are: [Give detail	ls]
my property is included in the	is area
My submission is: [Include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have the for your views] [If your submission relates to a proposed plan prepared or changed using the collaborative planning profollowing:  • Where you consider that the proposed plan or change fails to give effect to a consensus position should be modified; or  • In the case that your submission addresses a point on which the collaborative group did not response that provision in the plan should be modified.]	ocess, you must indicate the
oppose - I have been farming this 35 + years and have I never accross any repo, wai puna la tuhituhi o Inehera tautaka L this seems to possibilitys rather probabilitys as I no taotual evi	lond for come ugapa, pa, saka than idence
has beed provided	Processors II &
argologius v.cital	10 E16190 - 1 6
	andycos star v

Doc # 636102

Finals is of Schedule 3, Resource Monagement Act. 1911. 1. 21.75 (1974), pp. 1	
.3/1. / / // // / / / / / / / / / / / / /	hdalG and 41,of
	,
	Mirweus to saysta
I seek the following decision from the local authority: [Give precise details as this is the only part that will be summarised in the summary of decisions requested]	
remove wati tupuna from mu prof	Jonto
conocc would repeate man prof	24.14
	<u> </u>
	Comment in
[*In the case of a submission made on a proposed planning instrument that is subject to a streamlined planning only indicate whether you wish to be heard if the direction specifies that a hearing will be held.] [†Select one.]	process, you need
*If others make a circilar submission trull consider averagety a jobst and with the substantial	- h
*If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at [*Delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case.]	a nearing.
Delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case.	
Signature of submitter ( <i>or</i> person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)	•••••
[A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means]	
Date 7-12-22	
Electronic address for service of submitter:	
Telephone: 0.3 6882441	
Postal address (or alternative method of service under s352 of the Act):	
	50kg
Contact person (nume und designation, y applicable)	and the second
anggan see Siller is a falling by the second of a manuford a surfacility silver.	
Note to person making submission	
1. If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form	
person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to	make a submission
may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.	
2. Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority	is satisfied that at
least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):	

- It is frivolous or vexatious:
- It discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- It contains offensive language:
- It is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialist knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.