

Form 6

Further submission in Support of, or in Opposition to, Submissions on the Proposed Timaru District Plan – He Po. He Ao. Ka Awatea

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: **Timaru District Council**

This is a further submission in support of, or in opposition to, a submission on the **Proposed Timaru District Plan**.

Full name of person making further submission:

Name **Bruce Roderick Selbie**

Only certain persons can make a further submission. Please select the option that applies. I am:

A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has.

Please explain why you come within the category selected above.

Further to original subdivision number 32, the attached spreadsheet supports or opposes others submission points.

Hearing options

I wish to be heard in support of my further submission? **Yes**

If others make a similar further submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. **Yes**



Andrew Rabbidge - Director - Milward Finlay Lobb

Signature of the person authorised to sign on behalf of **Bruce Roderick Selbie**

Date: 4 August 2023

Electronic address for service of person making submission: admin@mflnz.co.nz

Telephone: 03 684 7688

Postal address: Milward Finlay Lobb Ltd
6 The Terrace - PO Box 434
Timaru 7940

Contact person: Andrew Rabbidge

You have served a copy of the further submission on the original submitter (this is required under the Resource Management Act 1991 Schedule 1, s84(2) to be completed within 5 working days after it is served on the Timaru District Council). **Yes**

Additional template for multiple further submission points

Further submission on behalf of Bruce Roderick Selbie						
This further submission is in relation to the original submission of: <i>Enter the name of the original submitter as per the SoDR. E.g. Timaru District Council</i>	This further submission is in relation to the original submission Number: <i>enter the unique</i>	The particular parts of the original submission /we support /oppose are:	My/our position on the original submission is: <i>Support or oppose</i>	The reasons for my/our support/ opposition to the original submission are:	Allow or disallow the original submission (in full or in part)	Give precise details (which can include tracked changes) of the decision you want the Council to make in relation to the original submission point
John and Linda Badcock	85.1	Support an extension to the RLZ zone in Geraldine.	Support	Aligns with submission #32 being the land comprising Lot 2 DP 473022 and Lot 3 DP 22926.	Allow	Extend the RLZ on the west side of Main North Road Geraldine to include the land within submission #32.
Anna Morten	88.1	Support an extension to the RLZ zone in Geraldine.	Support	Aligns with submission #32 being the land comprising Lot 2 DP 473022 and Lot 3 DP 22926.	Allow in part	Extend the RLZ on the west side of Main North Road Geraldine to include the land within submission #32.
George Harper, R & G Kellahan, H Kellahan, B & S Robertson, D & S Payne, G & R Harper	108.1	Support an extension to the RLZ zone in Geraldine.	Support	Aligns with submission #32 being the land comprising Lot 2 DP 473022 and Lot 3 DP 22926.	Allow	Extend the RLZ on the west side of Main North Road Geraldine to include the land within submission #32.
Steve and Yanna Houwaard Sullivan	138.1	Support in part an extension to the RLZ in Geraldine.	Support in part	Support in part being the an extension of the RLZ in the western side of Main North Road to incorporate the land within submission (Lot 2 DP 473022 and Lot 3 DP 22926).	Allow in part	Extend the RLZ on the west side of Main North Road Geraldine to include the land within submission #32.
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.62	Support amendments to FC-P2.	Support	We support the need for further clarification with respect to Council's policies for Financial Contributions & FC-P2.	Allow in full	Amend FC-P2 Financial contributions for infrastructure and facilities to provide clarity.
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.63	Support amendments to APP7.	Support	Support for amendments to Water, Stormwater, Wastewater & Roading Financial Contributions - APP7 to be more specific.	Allow in full	Redraft APP7 - Financial Contribution 1.0 Water, Stormwater, Wastewater and Roading.
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.64	Support amendments to APP7.	Support	We support to require for Council to ensure that Financial Contributions are fair and equitable particularly for contributions that are imposed retrospectively.	Allow	Amend APP7 - Financial Contribution , 1.0 Water, Stormwater, Wastewater and Roading to make it clear that any infrastructure contribution will be an equitable share of the full cost of any upgrade required as a result of the development.
Federated Farmers	182.78	Support for clarification on SASM mapping	Support	SASM areas within the Timaru District Plan are poorly mapped and are inconsistent with records held by Environment Canterbury.	Allow	Provide landowners with specific detail on where the SASM are located and ensuring that SASM mapping is consistent within the District Plan and the Regional Plan.
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.103	Support to retain SUB-P15	Support	Support for on site effluent disposal with the RLZ where a reticulated sewer network is unavailable.	Allow	Retain SUB-P15 as notified or preserve original intent.
Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	185.64	Support for proposed amendments to SUB-S8	Support	Support discretion being applied in the establishment of esplanade reserves and esplanade strips which may negatively or positively impact in cultural values.	Allow in full	Amend SUB-S8 Esplanade reserves and strips as follows: Matters of discretion restricted to: [...] 6. The impact of taking the esplanade provision on Kāti Huirapa values

Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	208.31	Opposed to the proposed amendments to SD-01	Oppose	SD-01 correctly refers to reticulated sewer and water supply networks to service Rural Lifestyle zones (RLZ). With a number of cost effective and reliable satellite/wireless internet options available, specific provision for reticulated fibre within SD-01 is unnecessary and is not supported.	Disallow in full	Disallow without amendment.
Chorus New Zealand Limited	209.31	Opposed to the proposed amendments to SD-01	Oppose	SD-01 correctly refers to reticulated sewer and water supply networks to service Rural Lifestyle zones (RLZ). With a number of cost effective and reliable satellite/wireless internet options available, specific provision for reticulated fibre within SD-01 is unnecessary and is not supported.	Disallow in full	Disallow without amendment.
Vodafone New Zealand Limited	210.31	Opposed to the proposed amendments to SD-01	Oppose	SD-01 correctly refers to reticulated sewer and water supply networks to service Rural Lifestyle zones (RLZ). With a number of cost effective and reliable satellite/wireless internet options available, specific provision for reticulated fibre within SD-01 is unnecessary and is not supported.	Disallow in full	Disallow without amendment.
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	208.82	Oppose the proposed amendments to Sub-S5	Oppose in full	SUB-S5 as notified adequately addresses the provision for electricity supply and telecommunications, bearing in mind the advancements in satellite internet technology. The provision of easements for telecommunications forms part of the supply contract entered into with the network provider without the need for any specific standards within the District Plan as is the case with the Timaru District Plan currently.	Disallow in full	Retain SUB-S5 as notified.
Chorus New Zealand Limited	209.82	Oppose the proposed amendments to Sub-S5	Oppose in full	SUB-S5 as notified adequately addresses the provision for electricity supply and telecommunications, bearing in mind the advancements in satellite internet technology. The provision of easements for telecommunications forms part of the supply contract entered into with the network provider without the need for any specific standards within the District Plan as is the case with the Timaru District Plan currently.	Disallow in full	Retain SUB-S5 as notified.

Vodafone New Zealand Limited	210.82	Oppose the proposed amendments to Sub-S5	Oppose in full	SUB-S5 as notified adequately addresses the provision for electricity supply and telecommunications, bearing in mind the advancements in satellite internet technology. The provision of easements for telecommunications forms part of the supply contract entered into with the network provider without the need for any specific standards within the District Plan as is the case with the Timaru District Plan currently.	Disallow in full	Retain SUB-S5 as notified.
Kāinga Ora	229.55	Agree that the FC- Financial Contributions lack clarity and certainty.	Support	Support the complete review of the FC- Financial Contributions and the related Appendix 7.	Allow in full	Delete all provisions of the FC-Financial Contribution Chapter , including related Appendix 7; AND Amend to ensure it is more clearly and comprehensively set out, in accordance with S77E of the Amendment Act.
Kāinga Ora	229.56	Support that APP7 and all related FC-Financial Contributions provides insufficient purpose and clarity.	Support	Support the complete review of the FC- Financial Contributions and the related Appendix 7.	Allow in full	Delete APP7 and all related provisions from the FC- Financial Contributions chapter; AND Amend to ensure it is more clearly and comprehensively set out, in accordance with S77E of the Amendment Act.