

Form 6

Further submission in Support of, or in Opposition to, Submissions on the Proposed Timaru District Plan – He Po. He Ao. Ka Awatea

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: **Timaru District Council**

This is a further submission in support of, or in opposition to, a submission on the **Proposed Timaru District Plan**.

Full name of person making further submission:

Name **Tristram Johnson**

Only certain persons can make a further submission. Please select the option that applies. I am:

A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has.

Please explain why you come within the category selected above.

Further to original subdivision number 145, the attached spreadsheet supports or opposes others submission points.

Hearing options

I wish to be heard in support of my further submission? **Yes**

If others make a similar further submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. **Yes**



Andrew Rabbidge - Director - Milward Finlay Lobb

Signature of the person authorised to sign on behalf of **Tristram Johnson**

Date: 4 August 2023

Electronic address for service of person making submission: admin@mflnz.co.nz

Telephone: 03 684 7688

Postal address:
Milward Finlay Lobb Ltd
6 The Terrace - PO Box 434
Timaru 7940

Contact person: Andrew Rabbidge

You have served a copy of the further submission on the original submitter (this is required under the Resource Management Act 1991 Schedule 1, s84(2) to be completed within 5 working days after it is served on the Timaru District Council). **Yes**

Additional template for multiple further submission points

Further submission on behalf of Tristram Johnson						
This further submission is in relation to the original submission of: <i>Enter the name of the original submitter as per the SoDR. E.g. Timaru District Council</i>	This further submission is in relation to the original submission Number: <i>enter the unique</i>	The particular parts of the original submission I/we support /oppose are:	My/our position on the original submission is: <i>Support or oppose</i>	The reasons for my/our support/ opposition to the original submission are:	Allow or disallow the original submission (in full or in part)	Give precise details (which can include tracked changes) of the decision you want the Council to make in relation to the original submission point
Bruce Speirs	66.56	Support in full to amend SUB-R1 Boundary Adjustment.	Support	Support that boundary adjustments should be classified as a Discretionary Activity rather than a Non complying Activity.	Allow in full	Amend SUB-R1 Boundary adjustment as follows: Boundary adjustment Activity status: Controlled Where: CON-1 <i>SUB-S1 is complied with; and [...]</i> Activity status when compliance not achieved with CON-1: Non-complying-Discretionary
Fulton Hogan Limited	170.8	Support in full	Support	We support that the SASM maps with the District Plan and the Regional Plan should be consistent.	Allow in full	Amend the Sites and Areas of Significance of Māori (SASM) map overlay to align with areas of significance used by Environment Canterbury's planning documents.
Federated Farmers	182.78	Support for clarification on SASM mapping	Support	SASM areas within the Timaru District Plan are poorly mapped and are inconsistent with records held by Environment Canterbury.	Allow	Provide landowners with specific detail on where the SASM are located and ensuring that SASM mapping is consistent within the District Plan and the Regional Plan.
Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	185.17	Support the amendments as proposed to SD-O1.	Support	Amendments to Objective SD-01 are supported to reflect the functional need for some activities and growth to occur outside the urban area.	Allow in full	Amend SD-O1 Residential Areas and Activities to better reflect the functional need for some activities and growth to occur outside the urban area.
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	208.82	Oppose the proposed amendments to Sub-S5	Oppose in full	SUB-S5 as notified adequately addresses the provision for electricity supply and telecommunications, bearing in mind the advancements in satellite internet technology. The provision of easements for telecommunications forms part of the supply contract entered into with the network provider without the need for any specific standards within the District Plan as is the case with the Timaru District Plan currently.	Disallow in full	Retain SUB-S5 as notified.
Chorus New Zealand Limited	209.82	Oppose the proposed amendments to Sub-S5	Oppose in full	SUB-S5 as notified adequately addresses the provision for electricity supply and telecommunications, bearing in mind the advancements in satellite internet technology. The provision of easements for telecommunications forms part of the supply contract entered into with the network provider without the need for any specific standards within the District Plan as is the case with the Timaru District Plan currently.	Disallow in full	Retain SUB-S5 as notified.
Vodafone New Zealand Limited	210.82	Oppose the proposed amendments to Sub-S5	Oppose in full	SUB-S5 as notified adequately addresses the provision for electricity supply and telecommunications, bearing in mind the advancements in satellite internet technology. The provision of easements for telecommunications forms part of the supply contract entered into with the network provider without the need for any specific standards within the District Plan as is the case with the Timaru District Plan currently.	Disallow in full	Retain SUB-S5 as notified.