

## Further Submissions on the Proposed Timaru District Plan

To: Timaru District Council  
PO Box 522  
Timaru 7940

**Submitter:** Fulton Hogan Limited

This is a further submission by Fulton Hogan Limited (Fulton Hogan) on the proposed Timaru District Plan.

Fulton Hogan has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest of the general public. This is because submissions have been made which, if relief is granted as sought, have the potential to positively or adversely impact on the ability of Fulton Hogan to operate their business in an efficient and effective manner.

The table annexed as **Appendix A** and forming a part of this further submission contains:

- a) The submissions which Fulton Hogan supports or opposes,
- b) The particular parts of those submissions supported or opposed,
- c) Reasons for support or opposition, and
- d) The decision sought by Fulton Hogan (whether the submission should be allowed or disallowed).

Fulton Hogan wishes to be heard in support of its further submission.

If others make a similar submission, Fulton Hogan will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing

Signed on behalf of  
**Fulton Hogan**



4 August 2023

**Address for Service of Submitter:**

Fulton Hogan Ltd  
PO Box 39185  
Harewood  
Christchurch 8545

Helen.caley@fultonhogan.com

**Appendix A: Further Submissions**

Ref#	Fulton Hogan supports or opposes the submission of:	Support or opposition	The particular part of the submission Fulton Hogan supports or opposes is:	The reasons for Fulton Hogan's support or opposition are:	Fulton Hogan seeks the following:
1	Aggregate and Quarry Association	Support	224.1 General	Fulton Hogan agrees that aggregate resources are locationally constrained (rock and sand can only be obtained where they occur naturally), and agree that it would be helpful to have an overlay to show where aggregate resources are located. Identifying the locations and availability of aggregates would help applicants and Council to assess resource consent applications against national regulations and policies such as the National Policy Statement for Highly Productive Land, which includes an assessment of "aggregate extraction that provides a significant national or regional public benefit".	That the submission be allowed
2	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	42.41 DWP – Drinking water protection	The submission proposes to make some activities within a drinking water protection zone a non-complying activity. The proposed list of activities includes earthworks. We consider that including all earthworks is unnecessarily onerous, particularly for minor maintenance type activities, or shallow excavations associated with roading and construction. We request that, if earthworks is included, a threshold for the depth or volume of earthworks be included, so that only activities that actually represent a risk to groundwater quality are managed.	That the submission not be allowed in its present form
3	Canterbury Regional Council	Support	183.67 SASM – sites and areas of significance to Māori	Fulton Hogan supports CRC's suggestion that condition PER-1 be made a note, rather than a condition of the rule. We agree that this will reduce the potential for confusion about which Council and rule should be referred to for works in the bed of the river.	That the submission be allowed
4	Canterbury Regional Council	Support	183.147 GRUZ – General rural zone	Fulton Hogan supports CRC's suggestion that condition 2 of rule GRUZ-R16 be made a note, rather than a condition of the rule. We agree that this will reduce the potential for confusion about which Council and rule should be referred to for works in the bed of the river.	That the submission be allowed
5	Southern Proteins Ltd	Support	140.24 GIZ – General industrial Zone	Fulton Hogan support Southern Proteins Ltd's submission that effects on air quality are managed by the Regional Council, and this should be removed from this rule to avoid duplication.	That the submission be allowed
6	House Movers Section of the New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Inc	Support	184.1 RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Fulton Hogan submitted requesting a change to the proposed wording of rule RELO-R1. The House Movers Section of the New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association have submitted that the activity be provided for as a permitted activity in all zones. This change would achieve the same outcome Fulton Hogan were seeking with our suggested wording change.	That the submission be allowed

**Addresses of submitters**

Submitter	Address
Aggregate and Quarry Association	PO Box 10-688 Wellington 6140
Timaru District Council	2 King George Place Timaru 7910 New Zealand
Canterbury Regional Council	Canterbury Regional Council PO Box 345 Christchurch 8140
Southern Proteins Ltd	Southern Proteins Limited c/- Tim Walsh Novo Group Limited PO Box 365 Christchurch 8140
House Movers Section of the New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Inc	PO Box 3873 Wellington 6140