



Timaru District Council
2 King George Place
Timaru 7910
Phone: 03 687 7200

Further Submission in Support of, or in Opposition to the Proposed Timaru District Plan

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

Further submissions close on Friday 4 August 2023 at 5pm

To: Timaru District Council

This is a further submission in support of, a submission on the **Proposed Timaru District Plan**.

Full name of person making further submission:

Shaun Hunter

Organisation name and contact (if representing a group or organisation):

N/A

Only certain persons can make a further submission. Please select the option that applies.

I am:

- a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest;
- a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has;
- the local authority for the relevant area.

Please explain why you come within the category selected above:

We are affected land owners and substantiate the opposition from other parties to the SASM aspect of the PDP.

Hearing options

I wish to be heard in support of my further submission? Yes No

If others make a similar further submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

Yes No

Signature: Shaun Hunter Date: 11/8/2023

(of person making submission or person authorised to make decision on behalf)

PLEASE NOTE - A signature is not required if you submit this form electronically. By entering your name in the box above you are giving your authority for this application to proceed.

Electronic address for service of person making further submission: zwartshunter@xtra.co.nz.

Telephone: 0276614807

Postal address (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act): PO Box 14 Pleasant Point

Contact person: *[name and designation, if applicable]*: [Click to enter text.](#)

You have served a copy of the further submission on the original submitter (this is required under the Resource Management Act 1991 Schedule 1, s8A(2) to be completed within 5 working days after it is served on the Timaru District Council)

Yes No

Further submissions close on Friday 4 August 2023 at 5pm.

Additional template for multiple further submission points						
Name of person making further submission:						Shaun Hunter
This further submission is in relation to the original submission of: <i>Enter the name of the original submitter as per the SoDR. E.g. Timaru District Council</i>	This further submission is in relation to the original submission Number: <i>enter the unique submission number as per the SoDR. E.g. 42.45</i>	The particular parts of the original submission I/we support /oppose are:	My/our position on the original submission is: <i>Support or oppose</i>	The reasons for my/our support/ opposition to the original submission are:	Allow or disallow the original submission (in full or in part)	Give precise details (which can include tracked changes) of the decision you want the Council to make in relation to the original submission point
Groundswell NZ	214	The submission in its entirety	Support	Please see attached document	Allow in full	To pause the PDP

Further submission in support of Submission number 214 Groundswell NZ Proposed District Plan Timaru District

I Shaun Hunter as an owner of a SASM and SNA affected property support the submission made by Groundswell NZ in it's entirety and wish to make the following points about point number 13:

We strongly support point number 13 that the Proposed District Plan is paused for the following reasons:

1. The cultural claims of the local runaka that identify themselves at Ngai Tahu (AKA Kai Tahu) are questionable, when many if not most of the archeological sites in South Canterbury were first occupied or created by entities that were not identified as Ngai Tahu, such as the Rapuwai and Wai Taha tribes and were created and occupied before Ngai Tahu came into existence. According to a document provided to us by Heritage New Zealand specifically referring to the rock art in Taniwha Gully Ngai Tahu (AKA Kai Tahu) did not come into existence until the 1800's. This brings into question the accuracy of the information provided by the local runaka and consequently the planning maps.
2. The zoning of SASM and SNA areas needs to be done thoroughly and correctly with full consultation of the landowners and the groups with genuine cultural and archeological claims. Each site needs to be correctly identified, assessed, surveyed and documented accurately not the haphazard, totally inaccurate, desk top exercise that has presently been done.
3. The Timaru District Council needs to carry out the necessary duty of care with regards to section 32 of the RMA. This has not been done. The zoning of SASM and SNA significantly devalues the affected properties and in many cases severely impacts on the farming activities, consequently negatively impacting on incomes.
4. The following aspects have not been adequately addressed:
 - a. The landowners have not been consulted, this is a critical requirement which has not been met.
 - b. Who is going to pay for the active management of these areas? According to information obtained from the QEII Trust it costs on average \$100,000/ha to restore and maintain areas of native vegetation. From personal experience this figure is a reasonable estimation. If this cost falls on the landowner the council have failed in their duty of care under section 32 of the RMA.
 - c. How is the council going to monitor, enforce and ultimately prosecute any transgressions of the SASM and SNA sections of the PDP when the planning unit is so under resourced significant amounts of work has to be contracted out?
 - d. How much is it going to cost the ratepayer to monitor, enforce and ultimately prosecute any transgressions of the SASM and SNA sections of the PDP? Ultimately how much is this going to increase the rates?
5. In its current state the PDP will fail to protect any SASM or SNA because in order to succeed this requires the cooperation of the landowners, which judging by the number of submissions opposing the SASM section of the plan it is clear the council has lost significant amounts of landowner trust and goodwill.

From: [Lisa Zwarts](#)
To: [PDP](#)
Subject: [Potential Impersonation] - Re: PDP further submission - Shaun Hunter
Date: Tuesday, 22 August 2023 6:39:51 pm
Attachments: [Further-Submission-Table-for-multiple-submission-points.xlsx](#)

This message was sent from outside the company by someone with a display name matching a user in your organisation. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the source of this email and know the content is safe.

Hi Jane

Please find attached the spreadsheet in a different format.

Kind regards

Lisa

On 22/08/2023, at 9:55 AM, PDP <pdp@timdc.govt.nz> wrote:

Hello Shaun,

Thank you for your further submission however I am unable to open up one of the attached documents namely the “Further Submission table for multiple points documents”. I am able to open the other two attached pdf documents. Please resend your further submission. Thank you.

regards

Jane

Timaru District Council | PO Box 522 | Timaru 7940
P: 03 687 7200 | W: www.timaru.govt.nz

From: Lisa Zwarts <zwartshunter@xtra.co.nz>
Sent: Friday, August 11, 2023 4:07 PM
To: PDP <pdp@timdc.govt.nz>; hello@groundswell.org.nz
Subject: [Potential Impersonation] - PDP further submission

This message was sent from outside the company by someone with a display name matching a user in your organisation. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the source of this email and know the content is safe.

Please find attached the relevant documents in support of Groundswell’s submission Number 214.

Kind regards

Shaun Hunter