

## Form 6

### Further submission in Support of, or in Opposition to, Submissions on the Proposed Timaru District Plan – He Po. He Ao. Ka Awatea

*Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991*

To: **Timaru District Council**

This is a further submission in support of, or in opposition to, a submission on the **Proposed Timaru District Plan**.

**Full name of person making further submission:**

Name **Gerald Austin Morton and Susan Anne Morton and Woollcombe Trustees 2 Limited**

**Only certain persons can make a further submission. Please select the option that applies. I am:**

A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has.

**Please explain why you come within the category selected above.**

Further to original subdivision number 11, the attached spreadsheet supports or opposes others submission points.

#### Hearing options

I wish to be heard in support of my further submission?  Yes

If others make a similar further submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.  Yes



**Andrew Rabbidge - Director - Milward Finlay Lobb**

Signature of the person authorised to sign on behalf of **Gerald Austin Morton and Susan Anne Morton and  
Woollcombe Trustees 2 Limited**

Date: 4 August 2023

Electronic address for service of person making submission: [admin@mflnz.co.nz](mailto:admin@mflnz.co.nz)

Telephone: 03 684 7688

Postal address: Milward Finlay Lobb Ltd  
6 The Terrace - PO Box 434  
Timaru 7940

Contact person: Andrew Rabbidge

You have served a copy of the further submission on the original submitter (this is required under the Resource Management Act 1991 Schedule 1, s84(2) to be completed within 5 working days after it is served on the Timaru District Council).  Yes

**Additional template for multiple further submission points**

<b>Further submission on behalf of Gerald Austin Morton &amp; Susan Morton &amp; Woollcombe Trustees 2 Limited</b>						
This further submission is in relation to the original submission of: <i>Enter the name of the original submitter as per the SoDR. E.g. Timaru District Council</i>	This further submission is in relation to the original submission Number: <i>enter the unique</i>	The particular parts of the original submission I/we support /oppose are:	My/our position on the original submission is: <i>Support or oppose</i>	The reasons for my/our support/ opposition to the original submission are:	Allow or disallow the original submission (in full or in part)	Give precise details (which can include tracked changes) of the decision you want the Council to make in relation to the original submission point
Alpine Energy Limited	55.16	Support	Support	The amendment as proposed will enable coordinated and efficient development.	Allow in full	Amend FDA-P4 Development Area Plans as follows: <i>Require Development Area Plans to provide for a comprehensive, coordinated and efficient development that addresses the following matters:</i> [...] 5. <i>the future servicing <b>and electricity distribution network capacity</b> needs of the area and the provision of adequate, coordinated and integrated infrastructure to serve those needs, including water sensitive design to manage stormwater;</i> [...]
Bruce Speirs	66.2	Opposed to the proposed alterations to the RLZ.	Oppose	The Proposed District Plan was notified prior to the introduction of the NPS-HPL and provided for limited areas of Rural Lifestyle Zoned land. The NPS-HPL provides for Highly Productive Land to provide for Rural Lifestyle allotments that were notified prior to 17 October 2023, being the operative date of the NPS-HPL.	Disallow	Disallow and remove the Highly Productive Land notation from all Timaru District Council Future Development Areas (FDA's) within the Proposed Timaru District Plan.
Bruce Speirs	66.30	Support the deletion of FDA-R10.	Support	The Non-Complying subdivision consent status is considered to be overly restrictive and unnecessary with all identified Future Development Areas (FDA's).	Allow	Delete FDA-R10 Subdivision resulting in an allotment less than 40ha
Bruce Speirs	66.44	Support an amendment to RLZ-S5.	Support	Agree that Post and netting fences are also appropriate within the RLZ's.	Allow in full	Amend RLZ-S5 Boundary treatment styles as follows: <i>Boundary treatments must be limited to:</i> 1. <i>post and rail fences; or</i> 2. <i>post and wire fences <b>and post and netting fences;</b> or</i> 3. <i>hedges, and</i>
Bruce Speirs	66.56	Support in full to amend SUB-R1 Boundary Adjustment.	Support	Support that boundary adjustments should be classified as a Discretionary Activity rather than a Non complying Activity.	Allow in full	Amend SUB-R1 Boundary adjustment as follows: <i>Boundary adjustment Activity status: Controlled Where:</i> <b>CON-1</b> <i>SUB-S1 is complied with; and [...]</i> <b>Activity status when compliance not achieved with CON-1: <del>Non-complying</del> Discretionary</b>
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	114.47	Oppose in part to the inclusion of Otumarama in the Historic Heritage Schedule.	Oppose	Past discussions have been held with Timaru District Council and it has been agreed that there are no significant heritage values that would justify Otumarama being included in the Historic Heritage Schedule. The recommendation from Dr Ann McEwan in April 2019 was that "There is insufficient evidence at this time to support heritage significance and continued scheduling on the District Plan".	Disallow in part and remove List # 2068 Otumarama	Amend HH-SCHED3 to include the following items from the New Zealand Heritage List Rārangi Kōrero: 1. List # 9072 Caroline Bay Memorial Wall 2. List # 1978 Cob Stable 3. List # 3156 Finch House <del>4. List # 2068 Otumarama</del> 5. List # 9825 Te Kāmaka o Arowhenua 6. List # 3163 Tekapo Buildings.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.192	Retain Future Development Area, FDA 2	Oppose	Future Development Areas have been established based on extensive reporting and analysis completed for the Timaru District Council including the Growth Management Strategy. Future Development Areas manage and provide for future growth in the District in a coordinated and efficient manner.	Oppose	Retain FDA 2-Kellands Heights East Future Development Area.

Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.196	Retain Future Development Area, FDA 10	Oppose	Future Development Areas have been established based on extensive reporting and analysis completed for the Timaru District Council including the Growth Management Strategy. Future Development Areas manage and provide for future growth in the District in a coordinated and efficient manner.	Oppose	Retain FDA 10-Kellands Heights West Future Development Area.
Ryan De Joux	157.2	Support in full a reduction in timeframe for FDA's.	Support	To ensure land that has already been identified by the Timaru District Council as being suitable for Future Development proceed to provide for the economic well being and growth of the Timaru District.	Allow in full	Amend all Future Development Areas shown as "Future Area - Beyond 10 years" to Future Area - Beyond 5 to 10 years"
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.62	Support amendments to FC-P2.	Support	We support the need for further clarification with respect to Council's policies for Financial Contributions & FC-P2.	Allow in full	Amend FC-P2 Financial contributions for infrastructure and facilities to provide clarity.
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.63	Support amendments to APP7.	Support	Support for amendments to Water, Stormwater, Wastewater & Rooding Financial Contributions - APP7 to be more specific.	Allow in full	Redraft APP7 - Financial Contribution 1.0 Water, Stormwater, Wastewater and Rooding.
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.64	Support amendments to APP7.	Support	We support the submitters position to require that Council ensure Financial Contributions are fair and equitable particularly for contributions that are imposed retrospectively.	Allow	Amend APP7 - Financial Contribution , 1.0 Water, Stormwater, Wastewater and Rooding to make it clear that any infrastructure contribution will be an equitable share of the full cost of any upgrade required as a result of the development.
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.103	Support to retain SUB-P15	Support	Support for on site effluent disposal within the RLZ where a reticulated sewer network is unavailable.	Allow	Retain <b>SUB-P15</b> as notified or preserve original intent.
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.148	Opposed to the proposed amendments to the Future Development Areas overlay.	Oppose	To ensure land that has already been identified by the Timaru District Council as being suitable for Future Development proceed to provide for the economic well being and growth of the Timaru District.	Disallow	Amend all Future Development Areas shown as "Future Area - Beyond 10 years" to Future Area - Beyond 5 to 10 years" as per submission 157.2.
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	208.31	Opposed to the proposed amendments to SD-01	Oppose	SD-01 correctly refers to reticulated sewer and water supply networks to service Rural Lifestyle zones (RLZ). With a number of cost effective and reliable satellite/wireless internet options available, specific provision for reticulated fibre within SD-01 is unnecessary and is not supported.	Disallow in full	Disallow without amendment.
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	208.82	Oppose the proposed amendments to Sub-S5	Oppose in full	SUB-S5 as notified adequately addresses the provision for electricity supply and telecommunications, bearing in mind the advancements in satellite internet technology. The provision of easements for telecommunications forms part of the supply contract entered into with the network provider without the need for any specific standards within the District Plan as is the case with the Timaru District Plan currently.	Disallow in full	Retain SUB-S5 as notified.

Chorus New Zealand Limited	209.31	Opposed to the proposed amendments to SD-O1	Oppose	SD-01 correctly refers to reticulated sewer and water supply networks to service Rural Lifestyle zones (RLZ). With a number of cost effective and reliable satellite/wireless internet options available, specific provision for reticulated fibre within SD-01 is unnecessary and is not supported.	Disallow in full	Disallow without amendment.
Chorus New Zealand Limited	209.82	Oppose the proposed amendments to Sub-S5	Oppose in full	SUB-S5 as notified adequately addresses the provision for electricity supply and telecommunications, bearing in mind the advancements in satellite internet technology. The provision of easements for telecommunications forms part of the supply contract entered into with the network provider without the need for any specific standards within the District Plan as is the case with the Timaru District Plan currently.	Disallow in full	Retain SUB-S5 as notified.
Vodafone New Zealand Limited	210.31	Opposed to the proposed amendments to SD-O1	Oppose	SD-01 correctly refers to reticulated sewer and water supply networks to service Rural Lifestyle zones (RLZ). With a number of cost effective and reliable satellite/wireless internet options available, specific provision for reticulated fibre within SD-01 is unnecessary and is not supported.	Disallow in full	Disallow without amendment.
Vodafone New Zealand Limited	210.82	Oppose the proposed amendments to Sub-S5	Oppose in full	SUB-S5 as notified adequately addresses the provision for electricity supply and telecommunications, bearing in mind the advancements in satellite internet technology. The provision of easements for telecommunications forms part of the supply contract entered into with the network provider without the need for any specific standards within the District Plan as is the case with the Timaru District Plan currently.	Disallow in full	Retain SUB-S5 as notified.
Kāinga Ora	229.55	Agree that the FC- Financial Contributions lack clarity and certainty.	Support	Support the complete review of the FC- Financial Contributions and the related Appendix 7.	Allow in full	Delete all provisions of the <b>FC-Financial Contribution Chapter</b> , including related Appendix 7; AND Amend to ensure it is more clearly and comprehensively set out, in accordance with S77E of the Amendment Act.
Kāinga Ora	229.56	Support that APP7 and all related FC-Financial Contributions provides insufficient purpose and clarity.	Support	Support the complete review of the FC- Financial Contributions and the related Appendix 7.	Allow in full	Delete <b>APP7</b> and all related provisions from the FC- Financial Contributions chapter; AND Amend to ensure it is more clearly and comprehensively set out, in accordance with S77E of the Amendment Act.
Horticulture New Zealand	245.82	The integration of Future Development Area within the receiving environment is supported.	Support	Support for a proposed amendment to clause 7 of FDA-P4 to manage reverse sensitivity.	Support	Amend <b>FDA-P4</b> as follows: <b>FDA-P4 Development Area Plans</b> Require Development Area Plans to provide for a comprehensive, coordinated and efficient development that addresses the following matters: 1. ... [...]; 7. the integration of the area with surrounding areas and the way any conflict <b>between areas- and reverse sensitivity</b> is to be managed; [...]

Horticulture New Zealand	245.83	Oppose in full	Oppose	Horticulture NZ seeks to remove identified Highly Productive Land from Future Development Areas by relying on the provisions of the NPS-HPL. However FDA's were notified prior to the NPS-HPL being operative. FDA-P5 as notified includes the preparation of a Development Area plan in accordance with FDA-P4.	Oppose in full	Remove highly productive land under the NPS-HPL from within all Future Development Areas; AND amend FDA-P4 as per our submission on FDA-P4 above.
Horticulture New Zealand	245.84	Oppose in full	Oppose	Horticulture NZ seeks to remove identified Highly Productive Land from Future Development Areas by relying on the provisions of the NPS-HPL. However FDA's were notified prior to the NPS-HPL being operative. FDA-P5 as notified includes the preparation of a Development Area plan in accordance with FDA-P4. The relief sought under our submission to 245.82 incorporates	Oppose in full	Remove highly productive land under the NPS-HPL from within all Future Development Areas.
Horticulture New Zealand	245.85	Oppose the concerns raised in relation to Development Areas incorporating LUC class land.	Oppose	Horticulture NZ seeks to remove identified Highly Productive Land from Future Development Areas by relying on the provisions of the NPS-HPL. However FDA's were notified prior to the NPS-HPL being operative. FDA-P5 as notified includes the preparation of a Development Area plan in accordance with FDA-P4.	Oppose	Remove highly productive land under the NPS-HPL from within all Future Development Areas. Support for a proposed amendment to clause 7 of FDA-P4 to manage reverse sensitivity.