

milward finlay lobb



Supporting Information for a Rezone Request (Hearing G)

Client	Bruce Selbie and Mary Washington
---------------	---

Address	77 Main North Road, Geraldine
----------------	--------------------------------------

File Number	251812/05
--------------------	------------------

Date	February 2025
-------------	----------------------



Table of Contents

1.0	Overview.....	1
1.1	Submitter	1
1.2	Location.....	1
2.0	Environmental Values.....	1
2.1	Existing Environment	1
2.2	Landscape Values and Natural Character.....	2
2.3	Biodiversity Constraints	2
2.4	Cultural and/or Heritage Values	2
2.5	Reverse Sensitivity/Incompatible Land Uses.....	2
3.0	Infrastructure	2
3.1	Water Supply	2
3.2	Wastewater Management	3
3.3	Stormwater	3
3.4	Funding for Council services	3
4.0	Transportation	3
5.0	Hazards	4
6.0	Growth Management Strategy.....	4
7.0	Canterbury Regional Policy Statement.....	4
8.0	National Policy Statements.....	7
8.1	National Policy Statement for Highly Productive Land (NPS-HPL).....	7
8.2	National Policy Statement for Urban Development (NPS-UD)	8



2.2 Landscape Values and Natural Character

Landscape values and natural character are described in the original submission. Due to the proximity to the Waihi River and Geraldine township (the site is within the 50km/hr zone) there is the ability to create walking tracks with the provision of esplanade strips and other mechanisms available to the Council.

2.3 Biodiversity Constraints

There are no significant biodiversity constraints on site that would preclude the development of lifestyle sections.

2.4 Cultural and/or Heritage Values

There are no identified Heritage Items or associated Heritage overlays that apply to the site.

There is an identified Site and Area of Significance to Māori (SASM) overlay on the site. The details of this are as follows:

SASM20 - Te Umu Kaha (Temuka), Haehae Te Moana and Waihi Rivers

Discussions have been entered into with Aoraki Environmental Consultancy Limited (AECL) to ascertain what cultural concerns need to be taken into account. At the time of writing a site visit is being arranged with cultural consultants and the submitter. Outcomes of these discussions will be provided to Council as soon as they come available.

2.5 Reverse Sensitivity/Incompatible Land Uses

The site is adjacent to numerous small rural lifestyle sections that line either side of Main North Road. Future Development Area 11 (FDA-11) is located on the opposite side of Main North Road. To the west the Waihi River borders the property. This is considered to be a complementary land use to the proposed rezone and accordingly it is appropriate for the rezone to proceed as there are no identified concerns in relation to Reverse Sensitivity.

3.0 Infrastructure

3.1 Water Supply

A request for confirmation of servicing availability, including whether it would be appropriate to work in with the development of FDA-11 was sent to William Ching at Timaru District Council on 13 January 2025. No response was provided prior to this report being provided to Council, however, should there be any response received this will be forwarded to Council in due course.



3.2 Wastewater Management

The site is proposed to be serviced by reticulated sewer network. Confirmation has been sought from the Infrastructure Department as to the viability of the site connecting to reticulated network and as noted above, to date no response has been received.

If the site is not able to be serviced by reticulated wastewater infrastructure wastewater will need to be managed on site. The following pathways are available to future landowners as per the requirements of the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan (LWRP):

1. Obtain a wastewater discharge consent for a septic tank as per the requirements of Rule 5.9 of the LWRP (a Restricted Discretionary Activity)
2. Install a composting or incinerating toilet in accordance with the requirements of Rule 5.16 of the LWRP (a Permitted Activity)
3. Install a blackwater holding tank – there is no specific rule provision for this in the LWRP but it is considered to be a Permitted Activity.

It is noted for points 2 and 3 that greywater is collected through a separate system and dispersed of via driplines as a Permitted Activity under Rule 5.12 of the LWRP. Option 1 is not considered practicable due to the proposed size of the allotments being 5000 square metres, and the proximity of the site to the Waihi River. However this will be a matter for a future landowner to address at the time of development.

3.3 Stormwater

Stormwater will need to be managed on site in accordance with the requirements of the LWRP, with particular focus made on the treatment required due to the proximity of the site to the Waihi River.

3.4 Funding for Council services

As addressed elsewhere, it is considered most appropriate to work collaboratively with Council during the development of FDA-11, with the submitter aware that there will be a degree of self-funding required to service any future subdivision.

4.0 Transportation

The site requires access to be obtained off the Main North Road. To date confirmation has not been obtained from NZTA in relation to the ability to utilise the current access, however it is noted that there are currently appropriate sight distances available, and the current intention to develop the site would see a right of way be required, rather than a road to access the future allotments.

As noted earlier, the property is within the existing 50 km/hr Geraldine Urban speed limit.



5.0 Hazards

The liquefaction and flooding hazard notations were addressed in the original submission. Noting that these are most appropriately dealt with at the time of future building consent application and that there have been works undertaken on site to provide additional flood protection through stopbanks.

6.0 Growth Management Strategy

The site was not identified through the Growth Management Strategy. However the area to the east of Main North Road was identified. The following reasons were provided as justifying the future rural lifestyle zoning of the area:

The Main Road East location is considered appropriate given that it is attached to the existing urban edge, can integrate with infrastructure (particularly via the Te Moana Water Supply scheme) and recognises the existence of some pockets rural residential development particularly fronting Main North Road and Bennett Road. There will need to be careful design of access to Main North Road (SH79).

While the site is not adjacent to the urban boundary, it is within proximity to, and has numerous residential sized sections situated between it and the town boundary.

7.0 Canterbury Regional Policy Statement

5.2.1 Location, Design and Function of Development (Entire Region)

Development is located and designed so that it functions in a way that:

1. achieves consolidated, well designed and sustainable growth in and around existing urban areas as the primary focus for accommodating the region's growth; and
2. enables people and communities, including future generations, to provide for their social, economic and cultural well-being and health and safety; and which:
 - a maintains, and where appropriate, enhances the overall quality of the natural environment of the Canterbury region, including its coastal environment, outstanding natural features and landscapes, and natural values;
 - b provides sufficient housing choice to meet the region's housing needs;
 - c encourages sustainable economic development by enabling business activities in appropriate locations;
 - d minimises energy use and/or improves energy efficiency;
 - e enables rural activities that support the rural environment including primary production;
 - f is compatible with, and will result in the continued safe, efficient and effective use of regionally significant infrastructure;



- g avoids adverse effects on significant natural and physical resources including regionally significant infrastructure, and where avoidance is impracticable, remedies or mitigates those effects on those resources and infrastructure;
- h facilitates the establishment of papakāinga and marae; and
- i avoids conflicts between incompatible activities.

Comment:

The site is on the outskirts of Geraldine, with numerous smaller allotments surrounding the site. There are options available to the site through the LWRP providing wastewater solutions if accessing reticulated services is not possible. Adverse effects will be able to be mitigated further by the site being able to access the reticulated infrastructure upgraded if FDA-11 is given effect to. This will be able to be confirmed in more detail once additional information is provided by council's Infrastructure Department. The nature of the surrounding environment of small allotments ensures that there are no reverse sensitivity effects on activities on neighbouring properties.

5.3.1 Regional growth (Wider Region)

To provide, as the primary focus for meeting the wider region's growth needs, sustainable development patterns that:

- 1. ensure that any:
 - a. urban growth; and
 - b. limited rural residential development

occur in a form that concentrates, or is attached to, existing urban areas and promotes a coordinated pattern of development;

- 2. encourage within urban areas, housing choice, recreation and community facilities, and business opportunities of a character and form that supports urban consolidation;
- 3. promote energy efficiency in urban forms, transport patterns, site location and subdivision layout;
- 4. maintain and enhance the sense of identity and character of the region's urban areas; and
- 5. encourage high quality urban design, including the maintenance and enhancement of amenity values.



Comment:

The proposal is considered to achieve a consolidated and coordinated pattern of development due to the nature of the site. It is not currently possible to provide a full comment on the servicing available to the site, however the site does have numerous servicing options that can be explored. The State Highway providing easy access to the Geraldine township and density of sections around the site demonstrates that the proposed rezone will be easily integrated into the surrounding environment.

5.3.2 Development conditions (Wider Region)

To enable development including regionally significant infrastructure which:

- 1 ensure that adverse effects are avoided, remedied or mitigated, including where these would compromise or foreclose :
 - a. existing or consented regionally significant infrastructure;
 - b. options for accommodating the consolidated growth and development of existing urban areas;
 - c. the productivity of the region's soil resources, without regard to the need to make appropriate use of soil which is valued for existing or foreseeable future primary production, or through further fragmentation of rural land;
 - d. the protection of sources of water for community supplies;
 - e. significant natural and physical resources;
2. avoid or mitigate:
 - a. natural and other hazards, or land uses that would likely result in increases in the frequency and/or severity of hazards;
 - b. reverse sensitivity effects and conflicts between incompatible activities, including identified mineral extraction areas; and
3. integrate with:
 - a. the efficient and effective provision, maintenance or upgrade of infrastructure; and
 - b. transport networks, connections and modes so as to provide for the sustainable and efficient movement of people, goods and services, and a logical, permeable and safe transport system.



Comment:

Whether the site will place significant strain on infrastructure, is not able to be fully assessed at this time, however this is considerably highly unlikely given the potential yield from this rezone request. The rezone is not considered to adversely effect natural or physical resources and will be able to integrate with transport networks efficiently.

8.0 National Policy Statements

8.1 National Policy Statement for Highly Productive Land (NPS-HPL)

The Submitter acknowledges that:

- a Section 75(3)(a) of the Resource Management Act 1991 requires that the PDP give effect to the NPS-HPL; and
- b The NPS-HPL places restrictions on urban and rural-lifestyle rezonings, and subdivision, of “highly productive land”, which includes land that has been identified as Land Use Capability Class 2 (LUC2).

The Submitter therefore accepts that those restrictions are a relevant consideration in the PDP planning process, and accordingly, the Panel’s consideration of submissions.

Clause 3.5(1) of the NPS-HPL requires that no later than 3 years after the commencement date of the NPS every regional council must notify all the land in its region that is required to be mapped as highly productive land. Confirmation has been requested from Environment Canterbury in relation to when the updated mapping will be released, however no indicative timeframes were provided. It is understood that work on the new Canterbury Regional Policy Statement has been paused until January 2026 pending the release of updated national directions, however it is currently unclear whether this will also capture highly productive land matters which should be notified by 17 October 2025 in order to comply with the requirements of clause 3.5(1) of the NPS-HPL.

The current PDP hearing schedule includes submissions seeking urban and rural-residential rezonings of land within the scope of matters to be addressed during Hearing G (Growth), between 8 and 10 July 2025. Based on the requirements of Clause 3.5(1) of the NPS-HPL the updated mapping, which will be subject to the Schedule 1 process of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) will be notified either prior to October 2025, or in the Regional Policy Statement update to be provided in January 2026. Either way, it is more than likely that there will be changes to how the NPS-HPL will apply to the site prior to the release of the Hearings Panel’s decisions in March 2026. The Submitter therefore considers it would be appropriate for the assessment against the NPS-HPL to be deferred and addressed initially in the



s42A report, the Submitter's evidence and legal submissions (as it is expected that more information will be available by this time) for Hearing G based on the information that applies at the time.

Due to the likelihood that there will be a different framework in place by the time the Hearings Panel release their decision on the PDP, it is considered most appropriate for the rezone to be considered on its merits, with the Panel allowing Council's reporting officer and submitters the opportunity to update their report, evidence and legal submissions for Hearing G as relevant, and address the implications of any changes to the NPS-HPL for the PDP and submissions prior to the Panel's decision being released should the potential changes become a reality.

8.2 National Policy Statement for Urban Development (NPS-UD)

Location, area and density matters have already been addressed in this response. As outlined the matters in relation to infrastructure require additional comment. These matters demonstrate that the proposal is consistent with the following Objectives and Policies listed below :

Objective 1: New Zealand has well-functioning urban environments that enable all people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural wellbeing, and for their health and safety, now and into the future.

Objective 6: Local authority decisions on urban development that affect urban environments are: integrated with infrastructure planning and funding decisions; and strategic over the medium term and long term; and responsive, particularly in relation to proposals that would supply significant development capacity.

Policy 1: Planning decisions contribute to well-functioning urban environments, which are urban environments that, as a minimum: have or enable a variety of homes that:

- (i) meet the needs, in terms of type, price, and location, of different households; and
- (ii) enable Māori to express their cultural traditions and norms; and

have or enable a variety of sites that are suitable for different business sectors in terms of location and site size; and have good accessibility for all people between housing, jobs, community services, natural spaces, and open spaces, including by way of public or active transport; and support, and limit as much as possible adverse impacts on, the competitive operation of land and development markets; and support reductions in greenhouse gas emissions; and are resilient to the likely current and future effects of climate change.

As requested by the prelim s42A Report the following comment is made in relation to whether there is demand in the Timaru District for sections:



The information provided by the Timaru District council includes growth projections from a Property Economics 2024 Report commissioned by the Council to consider the need for growth in the Timaru District. The growth projections contained in the report only show limited growth for the Timaru District and partially relied on growth data drawn from a date range when the COVID-19 pandemic was impacting the travel and movement of people throughout New Zealand. In particular the data states that it is unlikely that the population of Timaru would exceed 50,000 people in the short term. Information from Infometrics identifies that as of 2024 the population of the Timaru District sits at 50,100 persons.¹ This more aligns with the output of Venture Timaru which outlines how if an aspirational economic future is sought in the Timaru District that there will be a significant need for housing in the District. By the metrics provided in that report, a copy of which is included with this response, if Timaru District continues with the status quo the population would reach 53,000 by 2050 with significant increases if medium growth is achieved (67,500 persons). Due to the projections in the Property Economics 2024 report already being out of line with the statistics of Infometrics, it is considered that this should not be relied on for the purposes of considering whether there is demand for the rezone request.

Prepared by:

Melissa McMullan
LLB BA MPlan
Planner

Reviewed by:

Andrew Rabbidge
BSurv (credit), RPSurv, Assoc NZPI, MS+SNZ, CSNZ
Licensed Cadastral Surveyor
Director, Milward Finlay Lobb Limited

20 February 2025

Attachment

- **'Scenarios of an Aspirational Economic Future of Timaru District', prepared by Benje Patterson – October 2022**

¹ <https://rep.infometrics.co.nz/timaru-district/population/growth>

Bruce Selbie and Mary Washington - 251812/05

October
2022

Scenarios of an aspirational economic future for Timaru District



Report commissioned by Venture Timaru

Prepared by: Benje Patterson
Benje Patterson | People & Places
www.benjepatterson.co.nz
October 2022

All work provided and services rendered are at the request of the client and intended for the client's purposes only. Benje Patterson Ltd and its employees do not accept any responsibility on any grounds whatsoever, including negligence, to any other person or organisation. While every effort is made by Benje Patterson Ltd to ensure that the information and analysis are accurate and reliable, Benje Patterson Ltd shall not be liable for any adverse consequences of the client's decisions made in reliance of any report provided by Benje Patterson Ltd. Furthermore, Benje Patterson Ltd make no representations or warranties of any kind as to whether any report provided by Benje Patterson Ltd will assist in the performance of the client's functions. Any reliance will be at your own risk.

1. Contents

2.	Executive summary.....	2
2.1.	Key findings	2
3.	Timaru's current economic context.....	3
4.	Aspirational future scenarios for 2050	4
4.2.	Overview of future scenarios for the Timaru economy	4
4.3.	'Size of the prize' for Timaru's economy in each scenario.....	4
4.4.	Assumptions for achieving future scenarios	5
4.4.1.	Assumptions for achieving the 'status quo' future scenario	5
4.4.2.	Assumptions for achieving the 'more' future growth scenario	5
4.4.3.	Assumptions for achieving the 'better' future growth scenario	6
4.5.	Stepping towards ambitious industry transformation.....	8
5.	Which enabling factors does ambition rely on?	10
6.	Concluding remarks	11

2. Executive summary

This report has been commissioned by Venture Timaru. Its purpose is to highlight what an aspirational economic future could look like for Timaru, and what achieving such an outlook would rely on.

At its heart, this report helps show:


- Where will Timaru's economy be in 2050 if the status quo remains?
- How much larger could Timaru's economy be if there is an aspirational focus on doing better things?
- Which factors would achieving an aspirational economic future rely on?

2.1. Key findings

The potential 'size of the prize' for Timaru's economy from being ambitious is large:

- Timaru currently generates \$3.2 billion of GDP (2021).
- If Timaru does no better than just muddle along, with its status quo level of employment and current productivity trajectory then the economy would be worth \$4.2 billion in 2050.
- If, instead, there is transformational growth into high productivity employment, then Timaru's economy could be worth \$9.1 billion by 2050, which is almost three times its current size.

Figure 1




- The outcomes needed to achieve the 'better' future growth scenario are ambitious – both in terms of how many people Timaru would need to attract to fill jobs and how productive industries would need to be:
 - Timaru would need to attract average net migration gains of just over 1,000 people each year to reach a population of 75,000 by 2050.
 - The aspirational productivity outcome in the 'better' scenario would require transformation towards at least one third of Timaru businesses doing things that were at least twice as productive as opportunities under the status quo by 2050.
- Ambitious industry transformation won't happen overnight. Initially many of Timaru's productivity wins will be found working with existing businesses in existing industries. But through time, Timaru can progressively step out from this base and become more transformational in what it does, including breaking into new industries.
- Regardless of which industries help Timaru achieve an aspirational economic future, there will be many factors which are necessary foundations. For example, an additional 9,000 homes, 200-300 classrooms, and 1,500 more health and social assistance workers would be needed by 2050 to support the population growth needed under the aspirational 'better' future growth scenario.

3. Timaru's current economic context

There were 48,500 residents in Timaru in 2021 and employment sat at 26,054 jobs. Each job produced \$121,667 of GDP (compared to \$124,980 nationally), meaning Timaru generated total GDP of \$3.2 billion.


Figure 2 – The current size of Timaru's economy, source: Infometrics and Statistics NZ



Employment in Timaru is more heavily concentrated on primary and goods-producing industries than nationally. Primary industries centre on dairy farming, sheep and beef farming, arable farming, and fishing. Goods-producing industries tend to be concentrated on processing of food and fibre products, although there is some machinery and equipment manufacturing to support the primary sector. High-value professional services are less represented in Timaru than the rest of New Zealand, but Timaru is a service centre for South Canterbury so has relatively high health, education, and retail employment.

Figure 3

Contribution to employment by broad sector
Employment by broad sector as % of total jobs, Infometrics (2021)



Over the past 10 years, growth in Timaru has lagged the New Zealand average for GDP, jobs, and population. But productivity growth in Timaru was slightly above the national average.

Table 1

Comparing growth in Timaru against New Zealand over the past decade		
<i>Annual average percentage change, 2011-2021, calculations from Infometrics and Statistics NZ data</i>		
	Timaru	NZ
GDP (\$ billion)	2.2%	2.6%
Jobs	1.2%	1.9%
Population	0.8%	1.6%
Productivity (GDP/job)	1.0%	0.8%

4. Aspirational future scenarios for 2050

This section introduces three scenarios for where Timaru's economy could be in 2050. The scenarios range from conservative to aspirational – and are designed to highlight the 'size of the prize' from being ambitious.

4.2. Overview of future scenarios for the Timaru economy

The three hypothetical scenarios modelled in this report for Timaru's economy in 2050 are:

- **The 'status quo' (low) scenario.** This scenario highlights what will happen to Timaru's economy if it can only maintain the status quo level of employment and its industries merely muddle along their current productivity trajectories.
- **The 'more' (medium) scenario.** This scenario highlights what will happen to Timaru's economy if it can gradually expand its underlying level of employment, but only in industries based around the district's current productivity trajectory, rather than in anything transformational.
- **The 'better' (high/transformational) scenario.** This scenario is the most ambitious and is based on doing more of things that are better. It highlights what would happen if Timaru can evolve its economy and grow employment into an industry footprint with transformationally higher productivity.


The rest of this section outlines the potential economic impacts for Timaru of each scenario. The detailed assumptions underpinning each scenario and their practicalities are also examined, with a focus on how many people and what productivity levels would be needed to support them.

4.3. 'Size of the prize' for Timaru's economy in each scenario

The potential 'size of the prize' for Timaru's economy from being ambitious is large. Calculations under the three future scenarios show that:

- If Timaru does no better than just muddle along, with its status quo level of employment and current productivity trajectory then the economy would be worth \$4.2 billion in 2050, which is one third larger than its current level (\$3.2 billion in 2021).
- If instead there is transformational growth into high productivity employment, then Timaru's economy could be worth \$9.1 billion by 2050, which is almost three times its current size.

Figure 4 – Timaru's future economic activity (GDP) under conservative through to ambitious scenarios



4.4. Assumptions for achieving future scenarios

Each scenario of future economic activity is driven by assumptions based on jobs and productivity growth. The rest of section 4.4 unpacks the practicalities of each scenario's assumptions.

4.4.1. Assumptions for achieving the 'status quo' future scenario


The 'status quo' scenario has the lowest level of ambition for 2050. It simply assumes that:

- Employment in Timaru remains at its current level (26,054 in 2021)
- Productivity growth muddles along at its current trajectory (1.0%pa growth).

In practical terms, achieving the 'status quo' scenario's two assumptions would imply that by 2050:

- Timaru would need a population of 53,000 people, up from its current population of 48,500
- Productivity (GDP per job) would reach \$162,000, compared to \$121,667 at present.

Figure 5



It might seem counterintuitive that Timaru would have to expand its population just to maintain its status quo employment levels. But the reason is simple, Timaru's population is rapidly aging and 30% of residents are expected to be aged over 65 by 2050¹, compared to just over 20% aged 65+ at present.

Timaru would need to grow its population from 48,500 in 2021 to 53,000 by 2050 just to ensure there were sufficient people of working age to maintain Timaru's current level of employment and counteract increasing retirements.

The productivity growth assumption in the 'status quo' scenario is relatively unambitious. It only requires GDP per job in 2050 (\$162,000) to sit approximately one third higher than it does currently (\$121,667). Several places in New Zealand already have productivity at or approaching this level².

4.4.2. Assumptions for achieving the 'more' future growth scenario

The 'more' scenario is based around a slightly more ambitious growth scenario to 2050, where Timaru expands its underlying level of employment. It simply assumes that:

- Employment in Timaru grows at its current trajectory (1.2%pa growth)
- Productivity growth muddles along at its current trajectory (1.0%pa growth).


¹ Statistics NZ, subnational population projections (medium scenario), published 31/03/21.

² For example, Infometrics Regional Economic Profile shows that productivity (GDP per job) in Waitomo, Waitaki, South Taranaki, Wellington, New Plymouth, and Buller already exceeded \$150,000 in 2021.

In practical terms, achieving the 'more' scenario's assumptions would imply that by 2050:

- Employment in Timaru would sit 11,000 jobs higher than currently
- To fill these jobs, Timaru's population would need to rise from 48,500 people to 67,500 people
- Productivity (GDP per job) would reach \$162,000, compared to \$121,667 at present.

Figure 6



Timaru's aging population³ means that lifting the population from 48,500 to 67,500 would need to increasingly be driven by migration from around New Zealand and overseas rather than natural increase.

Timaru would need to attract a net 800 people each year to lift the population to 67,500 by 2050. This level of migration would be twice as high as Timaru's average migration gains in recent history⁴.

4.4.3. Assumptions for achieving the 'better' future growth scenario


The **'better' scenario is the most ambitious and transformational** scenario. It assumes that up to 2050:

- Employment will grow by 0.5%pa above its current trajectory (1.7%pa growth instead of 1.2%pa)
- Productivity will grow at 1%pa above its current rate (2.0%pa growth instead of 1.0%pa).

In practical terms, achieving the 'better' scenario's assumptions would imply that by 2050:

- Employment in Timaru would sit 16,500 jobs higher than it does currently
- To fill these jobs, Timaru's population would need to rise from 48,500 people to 75,000 people
- Productivity (GDP per job) would need to reach \$215,000, compared to \$121,667 at present.

Figure 7



³ A rising death rate, relative to births, is projected to reduce Timaru's population by an average of 175 people a year from 2023 to 2048. Source: Statistics NZ subnational population projections (published 31/03/21).

⁴ Between 2013 and 2018, net migration to Timaru averaged 400 people per annum. Source: Statistics NZ subnational population projections (published 31/03/21) which drew on censuses for historical perspectives.

The outcomes needed to achieve the 'better' future scenario are ambitious – both in terms of how many people Timaru would need to attract to fill jobs and how productive industries would need to be.

Timaru would need to attract average net migration gains of just over 1,000 people each year to reach a population of 75,000 by 2050. This level of migration is ambitious – even during the high growth years of 2013 to 2018 Timaru only attracted an average of 400 people a year.


The 'better' scenario's assumption that the long-term rate of productivity growth in Timaru increases from 1.0%pa to 2.0%pa might not sound too ambitious at first brush, but only one district in New Zealand has achieved sustained productivity growth of at least 2.0%pa over the past decade⁵.

Only fundamentally shifting the productivity dial into better ways of doing business would allow Timaru to achieve such a sustained high level of productivity growth over a 30-year period to 2050.

The aspirational productivity outcome in the 'better' scenario would require transformation towards at least one third of Timaru businesses doing things that were at least twice as productive as opportunities under the status quo.

Figure 8

Comparing productivity between the 'better' and 'status quo' scenarios



Achieving transformational change in Timaru's productivity would be a powerful thing, particularly given that attracting new workers to Timaru will be difficult against a context of heightened national and global competition for people. After all, productivity is about working smarter, not harder.

To put things in perspective, even in the extreme situation that Timaru can't attract enough new residents to lift employment, then a transformative shift in productivity alone would be enough to almost double the size of Timaru's economy (from \$3.2 billion of GDP in 2021 to \$5.6 billion of GDP in 2050).

⁵ Infometrics Regional Profile shows only Taranaki (2.0%pa) had productivity (GDP/job) growth of at least 2.0%pa over the past decade. New Zealand's average productivity growth over the past decade was 0.7%pa.


4.5. Stepping towards ambitious industry transformation

The previous sub-section highlighted that under the most aspirational scenario Timaru's economy could expand three-fold over the thirty years to 2050. This aspiration relies on growing and transforming the economy to at least one third of jobs having twice the productivity opportunities to the status quo.

The precise composition of what these industry transitions will be is uncertain and beyond the scope of this report. Nevertheless, this sub-section makes general comments about the decision-making context.

Transformations that build on existing strengths are easier to conceptualise, but 'blue sky' opportunities in new industries are harder to map out and many are reliant on yet-to-be-developed technologies.

Figure 9- Matrix of economic development ambition



What is known is that achieving ambitious industry transformation won't happen overnight. Initially many of Timaru's productivity wins will be found working with existing businesses in existing industries to streamline processes, explore adjacent products, and invest in proven technologies.

This approach is consistent with the Productivity Commission's recent inquiry into New Zealand's 'frontier firms' (businesses in the top 10% of those with the highest productivity)⁶. The inquiry researched how the economic contribution of frontier firms can be maximised to lift productivity across the economy. In its findings, the Commission said that we need to identify our frontier firms, learn about the characteristics of these businesses, implement focused innovation policy to strengthen the ecosystems that support them, and encourage the diffusion of their knowledge into non-frontier firms.

The 2021 Timaru District Economic Development Strategy (EDS) highlighted that the sectors in which Timaru has a competitive advantage are related to:

⁶ Available here: <https://www.productivity.govt.nz/assets/Documents/benchmarking-new-zealands-frontier-firms/2d6a4cd0ea/Benchmarking-New-Zealands-frontier-firms.pdf>.

- Food and fibre (particularly dairy, meat, seafood, and food manufacturing)
- Logistics
- Professional, scientific and technical services.

These three sectors are a logical starting point for shifting Timaru's productivity dial. Furthermore, these sectors are also well-aligned to central government strategies and funding mechanisms. For example, all three are embedded directly and indirectly across the government's various Industry Transformation Plans⁷, while optimising logistics is the focus of the New Zealand freight and supply chain strategy⁸.

Through time, Timaru can progressively step out from this base and become more transformational in what it does, including breaking into new industries with at least twice the productivity potential to the status quo. Exactly what new industries will succeed is uncertain, but in exploring high productivity opportunities, Timaru must be cognisant of broader megatrends. These megatrends are long-term forces that can structurally change the industries in which Timaru might be competitive. Some megatrends to take note of when considering potential new high productivity opportunities include:

- **An increased focus on inclusive growth.** Higher GDP isn't the only goal, instead there must be a balance with the wellbeing of people, communities, and the environment. Investment in productivity can be a vehicle to inclusive growth, as high productivity, technologically driven industries can achieve prosperity and higher wages without unduly pressuring resources.
- **COVID-19's legacy will endure long after the pandemic is over.** Consumer demand patterns have evolved, and businesses may permanently adjust their practices, logistics, and supply chains to minimise future risks of disruptions. The changes create opportunities for localism and for regional locations with good transport connections to major metropolitan areas.
- **The nature of work is changing.** Younger workers have different expectations of work and are more likely to prioritise lifestyle with shorter working weeks and remote working. With good digital and transport connections there are opportunities for Timaru to capitalise on remote working trends and in other jobs that can deliver services 'weightlessly' to customers.
- **Automation will have widespread effects,** particularly in sectors with a lot of routine tasks. Automation brings productivity benefits, but new opportunities will likely focus on workers needing to develop different skills. There may be scope for Timaru to develop and pilot automation on local industries, for example agritech and drone-based agricultural solutions.
- **Adapting to emissions and other environmental factors will have direct and indirect effects.** Government regulations will directly create costs and constraints, particularly within agriculture for those with intensive pastoral farming models. Changing consumer preferences will also create indirect effects, which will likely favour more sustainably managed and lower impact business models. These changes will bring opportunities, for example to research and test how Timaru's food and fibre sector can pilot world-leading productive and sustainable transitions.

The above list should only be taken as a starting point when considering potential 'blue sky' industry opportunities that could help transformationally lift Timaru's productivity. Megatrends by their very nature are uncertain – it is important to regularly consider other emerging forces. As stated in the Timaru EDS: "Timaru District, its people and businesses, need to embrace and respond to these changes, realising new opportunities and responding to disruptions".


⁷ Industry Transformation Plans (ITPs) are a mechanism for implementing the Government's industry policy. ITPs have actions focused on long-term transformation. More here: <https://www.mbie.govt.nz/business-and-employment/economic-development/industry-policy/industry-transformation-plans/>

⁸ The New Zealand freight and supply chain strategy takes a 30+ year view and will inform government and private sector investment. Productivity is key to the strategy. More here: <https://www.transport.govt.nz/area-of-interest/freight-and-logistics/new-zealand-freight-and-supply-chain-strategy/>

5. Which enabling factors does ambition rely on?

Regardless of which industries help Timaru achieve an aspirational economic future, there will be many factors which are necessary enablers. Productivity, employment, and population growth are key drivers of economic prosperity (see Figure 10), but these can't happen in isolation and in turn rely on underlying foundations related to skills, natural resources, housing, infrastructure, and social and cultural capital.

Figure 10



Enabling factors needed to support achieving the 'better' future growth scenario for 2050 include:

- **Sufficient business land and the right infrastructure.** For businesses to do better things, they will need suitable premises. Even at the lower end of land needed per worker, 16,500 additional jobs would demand a minimum of 30 extra hectares of adequately serviced business land by 2050⁹.
- **Access to capital.** Transformational changes in productivity are inherently capital intensive. Accessing investment capital for small to medium businesses is especially difficult in the regions.
- **Digital and transport connections.** Digital and transport connectivity are crucial for businesses' productivity. Remaining connected to friends and family is also important for new residents.
- **People with the right skills.** The 16,500 new jobs would be in much higher productivity roles, with different skills demands to the status quo. Ongoing training to build capability of existing workers to use new technologies will be as important as attracting people with the right skills.
- **Housing.** Population growth of 26,500 people could equate to 9,000 more households by 2050. This number of new households is equivalent to 300 extra houses per year for the next 30 years.
- **Schools.** Within the population expansion of 26,500 people, there would be around 6,000 children of early childhood and school age. Depending on average classroom sizes this could mean an additional 200 to 300 classrooms would be needed in Timaru District by 2050.
- **Health.** An increasing population will place higher demand on health services. In order to maintain similar health service levels¹⁰, Timaru would need at least 1,500 more health and social assistance workers by 2050¹¹ to account for population growth from 48,500 to 75,000 people.
- **Social and recreational infrastructure.** Community infrastructure and services play an important role in supporting wellbeing, as well as helping to integrate and retain new residents. Investment should scale as populations increase. The 2019/20 Timaru Resident Opinion Survey showed 87% of residents visited a park or reserve in the past year, while 91% used a community facility.

⁹ A BERL study showed businesses require 17 to 100 sqm per employee depending on if they are service-based or heavy industry (see page 14: <https://www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/assets/WRC/Services/regional-services/BERL-Report-UNISA-Industrial-Land-Demand-Study.pdf>).

¹⁰ There were 2,837 employed in health and social assistance in Timaru in 2021 against a population of 48,500.

¹¹ This estimate is conservative as there would also be additional health demands from an aging population.

6. Concluding remarks

This report has highlighted the power of being ambitious and transformational.

If Timaru can do no better than maintain its current level of employment and muddle along its status quo productivity trajectory then it will only be one third larger by 2050 than it is today.

However, if Timaru can be aspirational in terms of how many jobs it creates, people it attracts, and how productive these jobs are then Timaru's economy could triple in size over the same period. Such a goal would require a transformational shift into at least one third of Timaru's businesses doing things that were at least twice as productive as opportunities under the status quo.

Getting there won't be easy. Transformations that build on existing strengths are easier to conceptualise, but 'blue sky' opportunities in new industries are harder to map out and many are reliant on yet-to-be-developed technologies.

Furthermore, regardless of which industries help Timaru achieve an aspirational economic future, there will be many factors which are necessary enablers. Productivity, employment, and population growth are key drivers of economic prosperity, but these can't happen in isolation and in turn rely on investments in underlying foundations related to skills, natural resources, housing, infrastructure, and social and cultural capital.