

## Form 5

## Submission on Notified Proposal for Plan, Change or Variation Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Timaru District Council
Name of submitter:
[State full name]
This is a submission on the following proposed plan or on a change proposed to the following plan or on the following proposed variation to a proposed plan or on the following proposed variation to a change to an existing plan) (the 'proposal'):  Resulting Normany S.H. (State the name of proposed or existing plan and (where applicable) change or variation).
I could could not* gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.  [*Select one.]
(a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.  [*Delete or strike through entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.]  [†Select one.]  The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are: [Give details]
My submission is: [Include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and reasons for your views] [If your submission relates to a proposed plan prepared or changed using the collaborative planning process, you must indicate the following:  • Where you consider that the proposed plan or change fails to give effect to a consensus position and therefore how it should be modified; or  • In the case that your submission addresses a point on which the collaborative group did not reach a consensus position, how that provision in the plan should be modified.]
Wanting Plevias Conditions that well
cohvid ra: Normanby S.H.I Realignament
where quieter road surfaces were used and
not the small thip (Queter smooth to reduce
traffic mise) "Asphalt"

Appendix 3 of the Addendum	" to ensure + rath
THE TEMELICION	1 0 9 500 1 91 170
notise levels at properties rea	rain within the
	The state of the s
laca Tronsit Guidelines"	
	na in the to
I seek the following decision from the local authority: [Give precise	details as this is the only part of your submission
that will be summarised in the summary of decisions requested]	actuals as and is the siny pair of your sazimests.
For S.H.I - Normanby to be	e sealed in a
J	
L. Committee and the second se	
Smooth surface - Not a	Lhip.
on the ell as if their competition	unden nut ehr beter betran etal. (1)
I wish for do not wish) † to be heard in support of my submission  [*In the case of a submission made on a proposed planning instrument that is su	
only indicate whether you wish to be heard if the direction specifies that a hearin	
[†Select one.]	
*If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a	joint case with them at a hearing.
[*Delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case.]	
M Giebert	
Signature of submitter (or person authorised to sign on behalf of	f submitter)
[A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means]	
Date 12.12. 22	
0011110000	
Postal address (or alternative method of service under s352 of the	
Postal address (or alternative method of service under \$552 of the	ne Acty.
27 Normany Rod RD1	- Timon
Contact person: [name and designation, if applicable]	- Timony

## Note to person making submission

- 1. If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B. If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.
- 2. Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):
  - It is frivolous or vexatious:
  - It discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
  - It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
  - It contains offensive language:
  - It is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared
    by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialist knowledge or skill to give
    expert advice on the matter.