

## Form 6

### Further submission in Support of, or in Opposition to, Submissions on the Proposed Timaru District Plan – He Po. He Ao. Ka Awatea

*Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991*

To: **Timaru District Council**

This is a further submission in support of, or in opposition to, a submission on the **Proposed Timaru District Plan**.

**Full name of person making further submission:**

Name **Maze Pastures Limited**

**Only certain persons can make a further submission. Please select the option that applies. I am:**

A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has.

**Please explain why you come within the category selected above.**

Further to original subdivision number 41, the attached spreadsheet supports or opposes other submission points.

#### Hearing options

I wish to be heard in support of my further submission?  **Yes**

If others make a similar further submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.  **Yes**



**Andrew Rabbidge - Director - Milward Finlay Lobb**

Signature of the person authorised to sign on behalf of **Maze Pastures Limited**

**Date:** 4 August 2023

**Electronic address for service of person making submission:** [admin@mflnz.co.nz](mailto:admin@mflnz.co.nz)

**Telephone:** 03 684 7688

**Postal address:** Milward Finlay Lobb Ltd  
6 The Terrace - PO Box 434  
Timaru 7940

**Contact person:** Andrew Rabbidge

You have served a copy of the further submission on the original submitter (this is required under the Resource Management Act 1991 Schedule 1, s84(2) to be completed within 5 working days after it is served on the Timaru District Council).  **Yes**

Additional template for multiple further submission points

Further submission on behalf of Maze Pastures Ltd						
This further submission is in relation to the original submission of: <i>Enter the name of the original submitter as per the SoDR. E.g. Timaru District Council</i>	This further submission is in relation to the original submission Number: <i>enter the unique submission number as per the</i>	The particular parts of the original submission I/we support /oppose are:	My/our position on the original submission is: <i>Support or oppose</i>	The reasons for my/our support/ opposition to the original submission are:	Allow or disallow the original submission (in full or in part)	Give precise details (which can include tracked changes) of the decision you want the Council to make in relation to the original submission point
Timaru District Council	42.41	Opposed to the proposed District Plan being inconsistent with the Land & Water Regional Plan	Oppose	Drinking Water Protection Area rules within the Proposed District Plan should be consistent with Environment Canterbury's Land & Water Regional Plan.	Disallow the original submission	Amend the rules or provide additional rules that create a non-complying activity status, within Drinking Water Protection Areas, for the following: <b>1 Hazardous facilities;</b> <b>2 Earthworks;</b> <b>3 Composting facilities;</b> <b>4 Buildings that require septic/sewage facilities;</b> <b>5 Offal pits;</b> <b>6 Silage storage;</b> <b>7 Vegetation clearance;</b> <b>8 Exotic tree planting/plantation forestry;</b> <b>9 Intensive primary production.</b>
Bruce Speirs	66.56	Support in full to amend SUB-R1 Boundary Adjustment.	Support	Support that boundary adjustments should be classified as a Discretionary Activity rather than a Non complying Activity.	Allow in full	Amend SUB-R1 Boundary adjustment as follows: <b>Boundary adjustment Activity status: Controlled Where:</b> <b>CON-1</b> <i>SUB-S1 is complied with; and [...]</i> <b>Activity status when compliance not achieved with CON-1: Non-complying-Discretionary</b>
Dairy Holdings Limited	89.9	Support in full	Support	Support that earthworks associated with every day farm maintenance tasks should a permitted activity.	Allow in full	Amend SASM-R1 Earthworks not including quarrying and mining as follows: <i>[...]</i> <b>2. Wāhi Taaka and Wai Taaka Overlay Permitted Where:</b> <b>PER-1</b> <i>The earthworks are for ancillary rural earthworks or are for the purpose of maintenance, repair, or replacement, of any of the following:</i> <b>1. existing fencing; or</b> <b>2. existing tracks or roads; or</b> <b>3. existing reticulated stock water systems including troughs; or</b> <b>4. existing natural hazard mitigation works; and</b> <i>[...]</i>
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.61	Support amendments to DWP-R2.	Support	The proposed amendment to DWP-R2 is supported.	Allow in full	Amend DWP-R2 Subdivision not connected to a community sewage system to exclude boundary adjustment subdivision or subdivision where the resultant use does not require wastewater disposal.
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	208.82	Oppose the proposed amendments to Sub-S5	Oppose in full	SUB-S5 as notified adequately addresses the provision for electricity supply and telecommunications, bearing in mind the advancements in satellite internet technology. The provision of easements for telecommunications forms part of the supply contract entered into with the network provider without the need for any specific standards within the District Plan as is the case with the Timaru District Plan currently.	Disallow in full	Retain SUB-S5 as notified.
Chorus New Zealand Limited	209.82	Oppose the proposed amendments to Sub-S5	Oppose in full	SUB-S5 as notified adequately addresses the provision for electricity supply and telecommunications, bearing in mind the advancements in satellite internet technology. The provision of easements for telecommunications forms part of the supply contract entered into with the network provider without the need for any specific standards within the District Plan as is the case with the Timaru District Plan currently.	Disallow in full	Retain SUB-S5 as notified.
Vodafone New Zealand Limited	210.82	Oppose the proposed amendments to Sub-S5	Oppose in full	SUB-S5 as notified adequately addresses the provision for electricity supply and telecommunications, bearing in mind the advancements in satellite internet technology. The provision of easements for telecommunications forms part of the supply contract entered into with the network provider without the need for any specific standards within the District Plan as is the case with the Timaru District Plan currently.	Disallow in full	Retain SUB-S5 as notified.