



Timaru District Council  
2 King George Place  
Timaru 7910  
Phone: 03 687 7200

## Further Submission in Support of, or in Opposition to the Proposed Timaru District Plan

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

**Further submissions close on Friday 4 August 2023 at 5pm**

**To: Timaru District Council**

This is a further submission in support of, or in opposition to, a submission on the **Proposed Timaru District Plan**.

**Full name of person making further submission:**

Nathan Hole

**Organisation name and contact** (if representing a group or organisation):

Rooney Group Limited, Rooney Holdings Ltd, Rooney Earthmoving Ltd and Rooney Farms Ltd

**Only certain persons can make a further submission. Please select the option that applies.**

**I am:**

- a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest;
- a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has;
- the local authority for the relevant area.

Please explain why you come within the category selected above:

The Rooney group of of companies own or have interests in many properties affected by the Proposed District Plan (PDP), and have current and future clients affected by the PDP.

### Hearing options

I wish to be heard in support of my further submission?  Yes  No

If others make a similar further submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

Yes  No

Signature: Nathan Hole

Date: 4.8.2023

*(of person making submission or person authorised to make decision on behalf)*

**PLEASE NOTE** - A signature is not required if you submit this form electronically. By entering your name in the box above you are giving your authority for this application to proceed.

**Electronic address for service of person making further submission:** nathan.hole@rooneygroup.co.nz

**Telephone:** 027 243 2219

**Postal address (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):** PO Box 10, Waimate 7960

**Contact person:** *[name and designation, if applicable]:*

Nathan Hole

Senior Adviser – Environmental Policy & Projects

Rooney Group Ltd

**You have served a copy of the further submission on the original submitter (this is required under the Resource Management Act 1991 Schedule 1, s8A(2) to be completed within 5 working days after it is served on the Timaru District Council)**

Yes    No

**Further submissions close on Friday 4 August 2023 at 5pm.**

## Additional template for multiple further submission points

**Name of person making further submission: Rooney Group Limited, Rooney Holdings Limited, Rooney Earthmoving Limited, Rooney Farms Limited**

This further submission is in relation to the original submission of:	Submission Number:	The particular parts of the original submission I/we support /oppose are:	My/our position on the original submission is:	The reasons for my/our support/opposition to the original submission are:	Allow or disallow original submission (in full or in part)	Give precise details (which can include tracked changes) of the decision you want the Council to make in relation to the original submission point
Karton and Hollamby Group Ltd T/A Stonewood Homes South Canterbury Ltd	31.1	EW-S1 Areas	Support	Agree 250sqm earthworks limitation per site per 12 month is not enough for GRZ or MRZ.	Allow in full	Remove the area limit on earthworks in GRZ and MDRZ per site per 12 month period.
Karton and Hollamby Group Ltd T/A Stonewood Homes South Canterbury Ltd	31.2	GRZ-R10 Fences	Support	Agree with the submitter that the 1m height limitation in PER-1 and the required 45% should not be imposed.	Allow in full	Support amendments sought by the submitter.
Karton and Hollamby Group Ltd T/A Stonewood Homes South Canterbury Ltd	31.3	GRZ-S5 Coverage	Support	The maximum 40% of building coverage per site is too restrictive.	Allow in full	Amend <b>GRZ-S5 Coverage</b> as sought by submitter.
Karton and Hollamby Group Ltd T/A Stonewood Homes South Canterbury Ltd	31.4	GRZ-S9 Landscaping	Support	A landscaping requirement should not be imposed in the GRZ.	Allow in full	Delete <b>GRZ-S9 Landscaping</b> .

This further submission is in relation to the original submission of:	Submission Number:	The particular parts of the original submission I/we support /oppose are:	My/our position on the original submission is:	The reasons for my/our support/opposition to the original submission are:	Allow or disallow original submission (in full or in part)	Give precise details (which can include tracked changes) of the decision you want the Council to make in relation to the original submission point
Karton and Hollamby Group Ltd T/A Stonewood Homes South Canterbury Ltd	31.5	MRZ-R10 Fences	Support	A specific fence restriction should not be required when adjoining a road, public reserve, walkway or cycleway boundary.	Allow in full	<p><b>Where:</b></p> <p><b>PER-1</b> Any fence within 2m of a site's road boundary or a boundary shared with a public reserve, walkway or cycleway is:</p> <p><del>1. no higher than 1m above ground level; or</del></p> <p><del>2. 1. no higher than 1.8m above ground level where at least 45% of the fence is visually permeable; and</del></p> <p><b>PER-2</b> [...]</p>
Karton and Hollamby Group Ltd T/A Stonewood Homes South Canterbury Ltd	31.6	MRZ-S5 Building coverage	Support	A maximum building coverage restriction of 50% is too limiting.	Allow in full	Delete <b>MRZ-S5 Building coverage.</b>
Karton and Hollamby Group Ltd T/A Stonewood Homes South Canterbury Ltd	31.7	MRZ-S6 Landscaping	Support	Managing landscaping requirements in the MRZ is unnecessary.	Allow in full	Delete <b>MRZ-S6 Landscaping.</b>

This further submission is in relation to the original submission of:	Submission Number:	The particular parts of the original submission I/we support /oppose are:	My/our position on the original submission is:	The reasons for my/our support/opposition to the original submission are:	Allow or disallow original submission (in full or in part)	Give precise details (which can include tracked changes) of the decision you want the Council to make in relation to the original submission point
<b>Pye Group Ltd, Dialan Dairy Ltd, Grantlea Dairy Ltd, South Park Farm Ltd, South Stream Dairy Ltd</b>	35.2	SASM-R6 Intensively farmed stock	Support	Agree with the submitter's reasons.	Allow in full	Delete <b>SASM-R6.1 - Intensively Farmed Stock on Wai Taoka Overlay.</b>
<b>Peter Bonifacio</b>	36.2	Definitions Intensively Farmed Stock	Support	Agree that the definition is too broad as it captures any irrigated land regardless of whether stock are break fed on it or not. The activity is dealt with more appropriately by the Regional Council.	Allow in part	Delete the definition of intensively farmed livestock, or secondly amend the definition to remove the reference to irrigated land.
<b>Timaru District Council</b>	42.27	TRAN-R10 High trip generation activities	Oppose	Disagree that a financial contribution should be levied for an activity that results in unanticipated heavy vehicle traffic.	Disallow in full	Do not amend TRAN-R10.
<b>Alpine Energy Ltd</b>	55.18	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Support	As outlined by the submitter	Allow in full	As amended by submitter.
<b>Milward Finlay Lobb</b>	60.27	SUB-S1 Allotment sizes and dimensions	Support	Agree with the submitter's reasons for GRZ and GRUZ.	Allow in part	As amended by the submitter in relation to GRZ and GRUZ.
<b>Hermann Frank</b>	90.13	NATC-R3 Earthworks	Oppose	There will be circumstances when there are no alternative locations for a narrow track other than the riparian margin.	Disallow in part	Retain PER-3 as notified.

This further submission is in relation to the original submission of:	Submission Number:	The particular parts of the original submission I/we support /oppose are:	My/our position on the original submission is:	The reasons for my/our support/opposition to the original submission are:	Allow or disallow original submission (in full or in part)	Give precise details (which can include tracked changes) of the decision you want the Council to make in relation to the original submission point
<b>Port Blakely Ltd</b>	94.1	General	Support	Agree with the submission point	Allow in full	Amend as requested by the submitter.
<b>Port Blakely Ltd</b>	94.2	SD-O3 Climate Change	Support	Agree that there should be recognition of land uses that sequester carbon.	Allow in full	Amend as requested by submitter.
<b>Port Blakely Ltd</b>	94.3	SASM-R3 Indigenous vegetation clearance	Support	Agree with the submission point	Allow in full	Amend as requested by submitter.
<b>Port Blakely Ltd</b>	94.4	SASM-R8 Shelterbelts or woodlots or plantation forestry	Support	Agree with the submission point	Allow in full	Amend as requested by the submitter.
<b>Port Blakely Ltd</b>	94.5	ECO-P2 Appropriate indigenous vegetation clearance in significant natural areas	Support	Agree with the submission point	Allow in full	Amend as requested by the submitter.
<b>Port Blakely Ltd</b>	94.6	ECO-P5 Protection of Significant Natural Areas	Support	Agree with the submission point	Allow in full	Amend as requested by the submitter.
<b>Port Blakely Ltd</b>	94.7	ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood	Support	Agree with the submission point in relation to plantation forestry.	Allow in full	Amend as requested in relation to plantation forestry.

This further submission is in relation to the original submission of:	Submission Number:	The particular parts of the original submission I/we support /oppose are:	My/our position on the original submission is:	The reasons for my/our support/opposition to the original submission are:	Allow or disallow original submission (in full or in part)	Give precise details (which can include tracked changes) of the decision you want the Council to make in relation to the original submission point
		protection works or ECO-R3 for National Grid activities)				
<b>Port Blakely Ltd</b>	94.8	ECO-R4 Clearance of trees in the Long-Tailed Bat Protection Area	Support	Agree with the submission point	Allow in full.	Amend as requested which is in line with other similar points. A permitted activity pathway should be encouraged where the landowner has engaged with the Department of Conservation who have the leading experts to work with landowners.
<b>Port Blakely Ltd</b>	94.9	NATC-R1 Vegetation clearance	Support	Agree with the submission point	Allow in full	As amended by the submitter.
<b>Port Blakely Ltd</b>	94.10	NATC-R3 Earthworks	Support	Agree with the submission point	Allow in full	As amended by the submitter.
<b>Port Blakely Ltd</b>	94.11	NFL-R7 Afforestation	Support	Agree with the submission point	Allow in part	Delete NFL-R7 Afforestation, or remove VAL-4 from the planning maps.
<b>Port Blakely Ltd</b>	94.12	GRUZ-P7 Industrial activities, rural industries and other activities	Support	Agree with the submission point	Allow in full	Amend the policy to acknowledge the need to use heavy vehicles as an integral part of rural industry.
<b>Southern Proteins Ltd</b>	140.23	GIZ - General Industrial Zone	Support	Agree with the submitter that effects on air quality are removed and dealt with by regional council plans.	Allow in part	As amended by the submitter in relation to air quality.

This further submission is in relation to the original submission of:	Submission Number:	The particular parts of the original submission I/we support /oppose are:	My/our position on the original submission is:	The reasons for my/our support/ opposition to the original submission are:	Allow or disallow original submission (in full or in part)	Give precise details (which can include tracked changes) of the decision you want the Council to make in relation to the original submission point
<b>Southern Proteins Ltd</b>	140.24	GIZ-R2 Industrial ancillary activities	Support	Agree with the submitter that effects on air quality are removed and dealt with by regional council plans.	Allow in full	As amended by the submitter.
<b>Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency</b>	143.19	FDA14 - Kennels Road Future Development Area	Oppose	Disagree that FDA14 should be deleted. It is an area that has potential to provide significant affordable residential housing to Timaru.	Disallow in full	Do not delete and bring FDA14 forward as GRZ.
<b>Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society</b>	156.32	Definitions - Significant Natural Area or SNA	Oppose	Disagree that unidentified and unmapped areas should be included. Landowners require certainty as to what is identified as SNA or not.	Disallow in full	Retain definition as notified.
<b>Ryan De Joux</b>	157.2	Future Development Areas	Support	The zoning of all Future Development Areas should be broad forward to incorporate the proposed zones into the District Plan.	Allow in part	Incorporate the FDA areas into the District Plan as zoned land for the zone specified in the FDA.
<b>Penny Nelson, Director- General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei</b>	166.12	Definitions - Significant Natural Area or SNA	Oppose	Disagree that unidentified and unmapped areas should be included. Landowners require certainty as to what is identified as SNA or not.	Disallow in full	Retain definition as notified.
<b>Road Metals Company Limited</b>	169.1	Definition of bank	Support	We agree with the submitter that the definition of bank is problematic and should be deleted.	Allow in full	Delete definition of bank
<b>Road Metals Company Limited</b>	169.2	Definition of cleanfill	Support	Agree with submission point	Allow in full	Amend as requested by the submitter.

This further submission is in relation to the original submission of:	Submission Number:	The particular parts of the original submission I/we support /oppose are:	My/our position on the original submission is:	The reasons for my/our support/opposition to the original submission are:	Allow or disallow original submission (in full or in part)	Give precise details (which can include tracked changes) of the decision you want the Council to make in relation to the original submission point
<b>Road Metals Company Limited</b>	169.5	Definition of sensitive environment	Support	Agree with submission point.	Allow in full	Delete the definition of sensitive environment.
<b>Road Metals Company Limited</b>	169.11	EI-R40 New landfills, excluding clean fills, within	Support	Agree with submission point	Allow in full	Amend as requested by the submitter.
<b>Road Metals Company Limited</b>	169.19	ECO-P5 Protection of Significant Natural Areas	Support	The policy should provide for quarrying activities within SNAs where there is a significant regional and local benefit. Reduced transportation costs reduces emissions.	Allow in full	Amend to provide for quarry activities in significant natural areas that provide a significant regional or local benefit.
<b>Road Metals Company Limited</b>	169.22	NATC-P5 Anticipated activities in riparian margins	Support	The policy should recognise quarrying activities and access required for gravel extraction.	Allow in full	Amend as requested by the submitter and also provide for creating access across riparian margins to access gravel in riverbeds.
<b>Road Metals Company Limited</b>	169.29	VS-P2 Maintaining availability of versatile soils	Support	Agree with the submission point.	Allow in full	Amend as requested by submitter.
<b>Fulton Hogan Limited</b>	170.1	Definition of bank	Support	We agree with the submitter that the definition of bank is problematic and should be deleted.	Allow in full	Delete definition of bank
<b>Fulton Hogan Limited</b>	170.5	Definition of sensitive environment	Support	Agree with submission point.	Allow in full	Delete the definition of sensitive environment.

This further submission is in relation to the original submission of:	Submission Number:	The particular parts of the original submission I/we support /oppose are:	My/our position on the original submission is:	The reasons for my/our support/ opposition to the original submission are:	Allow or disallow original submission (in full or in part)	Give precise details (which can include tracked changes) of the decision you want the Council to make in relation to the original submission point
<b>Fulton Hogan Limited</b>	170.12	EI-R40 New landfills, excluding clean fills, within	Support	Agree with submission point	Allow in full	Amend as requested by the submitter.
<b>Fulton Hogan Limited</b>	170.20	ECO-P5 Protection of Significant Natural Areas	Support	The policy should provide for quarrying activities within SNAs where there is a significant regional and local benefit. Reduced transportation costs reduces emissions.	Allow in full	Amend to provide for quarry activities in significant natural areas that provide a significant regional or local benefit.
<b>Fulton Hogan Limited</b>	170.23	NATC-P5 Anticipated activities in riparian margins	Support	The policy should recognise quarrying activities and access required for gravel extraction.	Allow in full	Amend as requested by the submitter and also provide for creating access across riparian margins to access gravel in riverbeds.
<b>Fulton Hogan Limited</b>	170.29	VS-P2 Maintaining availability of versatile soils	Support	Agree with the submission point.	Allow in full	Amend as requested by submitter.
<b>Alliance Group Limited</b>	173.74	SUB-P5 Reverse Sensitivity	Support	Agree with the submitter's addition to the policy.	Allow in full	Amend as requested by the submitter.
<b>Federated Farmers</b>	182.1	General	Support	Agree with submission point	Allow in full	Amend the plan as necessary.
<b>Federated Farmers</b>	182.2	General	Support	Agree that there should be more consideration for controlled activities instead of discretionary or restricted discretionary for primary production related activities.	Allow in full	Amend the plan as necessary.

This further submission is in relation to the original submission of:	Submission Number:	The particular parts of the original submission I/we support /oppose are:	My/our position on the original submission is:	The reasons for my/our support/opposition to the original submission are:	Allow or disallow original submission (in full or in part)	Give precise details (which can include tracked changes) of the decision you want the Council to make in relation to the original submission point
<b>Federated Farmers</b>	182.25	Definitions – Riparian Margin	Support	Agree with the submission point.	Allow in part	Provide for a riparian margin of 20m for the Rangitata, Opihi and Ōrari rivers.
<b>Federated Farmers</b>	182.129	NFL-R2 Earthworks not listed in NFL- R1 , NFL-R3 or NFL- R4	Support	Agree with the submission point regarding the VAL overlay.	Allow in full	Amend as requested by submitter.
<b>Canterbury Regional Council</b>	183.2	General	Support	Agree with the submission point.	Allow in full	Review the entire plan as requested by the submitter.
<b>Canterbury Regional Council</b>	183.8	Significant Natural Area Or SNA	Oppose	A SNA should not include an area that is yet to be identified. Landowners require certainty as to what is identified as SNA or not.	Disallow in full	Retain as notified excluding any SNA identified within the bed of a river.
<b>Canterbury Regional Council</b>	183.67	SASM-R5 Mining and quarrying	Support	Support PER-1 being deleted due to jurisdictional overlap with the regional council.	Allow in full	Amend as requested by submitter.
<b>Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu</b>	185.98	SASM-R1 Earthworks not including quarrying and mining	Support	Agree with submission point.	Allow in full	Amend as requested by the submitter.
<b>KiwiRail Holdings Ltd</b>	187.87	TREESG-11	Support	Agree with the submission point that the trees SG-11 should be removed from the schedule of notable trees.	Allow in full	Remove <b>TREESG-11</b> from Schedule 5B - Schedule.
<b>KiwiRail Holdings Ltd</b>	187.88	TREESG-11	Support	Agree with the submission point that the trees SG-11 should be removed from the schedule of notable trees.	Allow in full	Remove TREESG-11 from the planning maps.

This further submission is in relation to the original submission of:	Submission Number:	The particular parts of the original submission I/we support /oppose are:	My/our position on the original submission is:	The reasons for my/our support/ opposition to the original submission are:	Allow or disallow original submission (in full or in part)	Give precise details (which can include tracked changes) of the decision you want the Council to make in relation to the original submission point
<b>North Meadows 2021 Limited and Thompson Engineering (2002) Limited</b>	190.1	Rezone	Support	Agree that the land at 236 Meadows Road should be zoned GIZ.	Allow in full	Rezone GIZ as requested by submitter.
<b>Foodstuffs South Island Limited</b>	193.3	Rezone	Support	Agree with the submission point that the 10m strip of land should be rezoned Local Centre Zone.	Allow in full	Rezone Local Centre Zone as requested by submitter.
<b>Aggregate and Quarry Association</b>	224.7	GRUZ-P6 Mining and quarrying activities	Support	Agree with submission point.	Allow in full	Amend as requested by submitter.
<b>Aggregate and Quarry Association</b>	224.8	GRUZ-R16 Quarries and quarrying activities [...]	Support	Agree with the submission point.	Allow in full	Amend as requested by the submitter.
<b>Kāinga Ora</b>	229.55	Financial Contributions	Support	Agree with the submission point	Allow in full	Amend as requested by the submitter.
<b>Kāinga Ora</b>	229.56	APP7 - Financial Contribution	Support	Agree with the submission point.	Allow in full	Delete APP7 and amend accordingly.
<b>Horticulture New Zealand</b>	245.81	Future Development Areas	Oppose	Disagree that FDAs should exclude LUC Class 3 land	Disallow in full	Rezone FDAs now.
<b>White Water Properties Ltd</b>	248.1	Rezone	Support	Agree that FDA13 should be zoned GIZ immediately.	Allow in full	Rezone all land within FDA13 to General Industrial Zone.
<b>White Water Properties Ltd</b>	248.3	UFD-O1 Settlement Patterns	Support	Agree with the submission point.	Allow in full	Amend as requested by the submitter.

This further submission is in relation to the original submission of:	Submission Number:	The particular parts of the original submission I/we support /oppose are:	My/our position on the original submission is:	The reasons for my/our support/opposition to the original submission are:	Allow or disallow original submission (in full or in part)	Give precise details (which can include tracked changes) of the decision you want the Council to make in relation to the original submission point
<b>White Water Properties Ltd</b>	248.4	VS-P3 Expansion of urban areas	Support	Agree with submission point. This policy should not apply for any future development area.	Allow in full	Amend as requested by the submitter.
<b>White Water Properties Ltd</b>	248.7	FDA-O2 Development within the Future Development Area	Support	Agree with the submission point including the without prejudice note.	Allow in full	Amend as requested by the submitter.
<b>White Water Properties Ltd</b>	248.8	FDA-P2 Process to enable urban development and rural lifestyle development in the Future Development Areas Overlay	Support	Agree with submission point.	Allow in full.	Amend as requested by the submitter.
<b>White Water Properties Ltd</b>	248.9	FDA-R10 Subdivision resulting in an allotment less than 40ha	Support	Agree with submission point	Allow in full	Amend as requested by submitter.
<b>White Water Properties Ltd</b>	248.10	FDA-R12 Industrial Activity and rural industry (including associated buildings and structures)	Support	Agree with submission point	Allow in full	Amend as requested by submitter.