Form 5

Submission on Notified Proposal for Plan, Change or Variation Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Timaru District Council	1 6 DEC 2022
Name of submitter:	_
Tristram Johnston	RECEIVED
[State full name]	The state of the s
This is a submission on the following proposed plan <i>or</i> on a change proposed to the following proposed variation to a proposed plan <i>or</i> on the following proposed variation an existing plan) (the 'proposal'):	
This is a submission to propose a variation on the proposed and exisiting plan. [State the name of proposed or existing plan and (where applicable) change or variation].	
I could/could not* gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. [*Select one.]	
*I am/am not† directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission the (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. [*Delete or strike through entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through	
[†Select one.] The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are: [Give details]	
My submission is: [Include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them a for your views] [If your submission relates to a proposed plan prepared or changed using the collaborative planning process,	
following: • Where you consider that the proposed plan or change fails to give effect to a consensus position an	
should be modified; or	
 In the case that your submission addresses a point on which the collaborative group did not reach a how that provision in the plan should be modified.] This is a submission to relook at the zoning of 340 King Street, Temuka. Currently, our pr 	consensus position,
3 differing zones, Residential, Rural 1 and Rural 2. We would like the Council to conside to all Residentially zoned. This would fall into line with what is happening in this area, a off the residential Neal Street and aligns with what is happening on west side of northe	r changing the status natural progression ern King Street;a
transitional. It would be difficult to describe this area as Rural in nature, larger lifestyle applicable. On the north western side of King Street the Residential 1 zoning extends 5. Crossing road. This reclassification of zones would fall into what is occurring around this	70 metres to Oxford
	•••••
	•••••
	•••••

Doc # 636102

seek the following decision from the local authority: [Give precise details as this is the only part of your submission that will be summarised in the summary of decisions requested]
Proposal for 340 King Street, Temuka to be re zoned to all Residential due to the nature of the property sitting across three zones.
wish (or do not wish) † to be heard in support of my submission. *In the case of a submission made on a proposed planning instrument that is subject to a streamlined planning process, you need only indicate whether you wish to be heard if the direction specifies that a hearing will be held.] †Select one.]
Thahest
Signatyre of submitter (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) [A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means]
Date
Electronic address for service of submitter: tristram@scrfu.co.nz Felephone: 0274411735
Postal address (or alternative method of service under s352 of the Act): 340 King Street Temuka
Contact person: [name and designation, if applicable] Tristram Johnston

Note to person making submission

- 1. If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B. If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.
- 2. Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):
 - It is frivolous or vexatious:
 - It discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
 - It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
 - It contains offensive language:
 - It is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared
 by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialist knowledge or skill to give
 expert advice on the matter.