

## Chapter: General Approach

Feed-back No.	Section	Sub-section	Plan Provision	Feedback	Relief sought
<a href="#">141.7</a>	General approach			Support Consistent with RMA and requirements of Planning Standards.	Retain
<a href="#">68.6</a>	General approach	Activity Status	General	<i>It would create a better flow to the document if this item were to follow the Resource Consent Process section of the document.</i>	
<a href="#">72.4</a>	General approach	District Plan Structure	are strategically important for achieving integrated management and for ensuring the Resource Management Act's purpose is achieved; give effect to	<p><i>Suggested amendment for clarity and ease of reading:</i></p> <p><i>The strategic directions:</i></p> <p><i>a. are strategically important for achieving integrated management and for ensuring the Resource Management Act's purpose is achieved;</i></p>	
<a href="#">68.5</a>	General approach	District Plan Structure	Strategic Directions	<p><i>It is noted that the growth management strategy was developed at a time when the mass transmission of communicable disease had a lower impact on daily life than today. Historically, New Zealand roads in urban areas have been 20 m wide to allow for light and air between properties, alleviating the possibility of community transmission of communicable disease. In a similar vein, section size was generally up to 1,000 m<sup>2</sup>, to allow for open space between dwellings, while also allowing residents a reasonable chance to grow a portion of their own food requirements, and meet a portion of their recreation needs.</i></p> <p><i>In light of the recent world wide health pandemic, and the warning that this will not be the last case of such pandemics, it is felt that the proposed allotment sizes, residential density, coverage, yard, and open space requirements of the draft plan may need adjustment to better reflect community health concerns.</i></p>	
<a href="#">66.10</a>	General approach	Existing Use Rights Emergency Works	General	<i>The draft plan notes the provision for existing uses with Section 10 of the RMA. An existing lawfully established activity which does not comply with (for example) the new rules or standards could continue on the same basis under this provision. While this may provide some certainty for some activities, for example where there are existing</i>	

			<p><i>structures within the specified setbacks, the existing use provisions for other activities may be less certain, and as such impose some risk.</i></p> <p><i>Farming activities can vary within and between years. A risk may be how this existing use may be interpreted or applied for any given parcel of land. We note that the onus would be on the person carrying out the activity to provide evidence to the Council demonstrating the activity is an activity as described in Section 10.</i></p>	
<a href="#">145.1</a>	General approach	General	<p><i>Notification - Controlled Activity</i></p> <p><i>Consider giving direction as to notification of Controlled Activity resource consent applications such as</i></p> <p><i>An application for resource consent for a controlled activity will be considered without public or limited notification or the need to obtain written approval from affected parties unless:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>• Otherwise specified by a rule applying to the particular activity; or</i></li> <li><i>• The Council decides that special circumstances exist under section 95A(4) of the RMA.</i></li> </ul> <p><i>Or</i></p> <p><i>When deciding whether any person is affected in relation to an activity for the purposes of section 95E of the RMA, Timaru District Council will give specific consideration to the following entities with responsibility for any natural or physical resources which may be affected by the activity, including:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>• In relation to infrastructure, the network utility operator that owns or operates that infrastructure;</i></li> <li><i>• In relation to historic heritage, Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga;</i></li> <li><i>• In relation to natural resources and the coastal environment, the Minister of Conservation;</i></li> </ul>	



<a href="#">118.52</a>	General approach	General	General	<p>██████████ seeks a limited amendment to the overlay reference in Step 2 in Figure 1 so that it correctly refers to the “National Grid Yard” as opposed to “National grids”.</p>	
<a href="#">120.1</a>	General approach	General	General	<p>My submission(s) commence with a copy of my earlier submission (13-02-2017). While all aspects raised in that document are still relevant, there is definately scope for both updating and additional detail to be considered and I thank the Planning Staff for the opportunity to have my views aired. This submission will have four sections -</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.. The original document of nearly four years ago</li> <li>2.. Updating details of that document and further pertinent details</li> <li>3.. ██████████</li> <li>4.. Dog Control issues</li> </ol> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.. (copy original submission)</li> <li>2.. While there can be no qualms about the Floodplain Zoning for that area immediately East of the ██████████ and most (if not all) those property holders in that area actually welcome the fact that it stops the intensive development and crowding which we are starting to see in other parts of ██████████</li> </ol> <p>However, there are five sections only partially within this area and three of them have existing (pre 1975) dwellings on them. The houses on these three sections are above the Floodplain boundaries and it is only their access tracks that are within this zoning. The fourth and fifth properties (██████████ and ██████████ are, at this time, vacant sections and there are early indications these blocks will have Building Consent Applications submitted. While ██████████ the same situation as the three dwellings mentioned earlier i.e. access track is on the Floodplain, ██████████ is different where the only portion of that block liable to flooding is at the Southwest corner and this is where an inadequate culvert across ██████████ is situated. My submission relating to all of these blocks is that none of them should have any extra restrictions placed upon them.</p>	

*Both the above mentioned sections and all other sections in the Floodplain area now enjoy wide ranging protective measures that have never been acknowledged by either the earlier District Plan(s) or the current proposal and this is the crux of my submission regarding items 1 & 2.*

[Redacted text block]

[Redacted text block]

[Redacted text block]



				<p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>4.. Dog Control within Peel Forest Park Scenic Reserve. This is a rapidly growing issue and needs addressed in any new Draft District Scheme. D.o.C. Raukapuka have a blanket "No Dogs"policy within the reserve and this should be emphasised in the appropriate sections of the Plan. Perhaps this is another example of T.D.C. needing to consult/collaborate with D.o.C.?</p> <p>Finally, thanks for the opportunity to make the above submissions. It certainly was a long winded effort but needed to be backed up by current evidence. I would welcome the opportunity to address the Planning Board.</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p>	
<a href="#">119.3</a>	General approach	Integrated Management	Integrated management was incorporated into the Plan in a number of ways, including the establishment of the Plan Review Technical Working Group, whi	<p>Under current consenting rules with ECAN which requires a farm environment plan where under 9.0 FEP Element - Mahinga Kai. This would seem a more appropriate place to manage the risks to SASM's and their importance.</p> <p>I suggest this is revisited by the plan review technical working group to ensure objectives are met with the greatest efficiency. Also from an on-farm mamangement point of view it would be advantagous to manage these in one place.</p>	

				<i>The negative effect of multiple consents is that the additional costs go to consenting and not available and used for on farm management and protection of the environment and its associated benefits.</i>	
<a href="#">72.3</a>	General approach	Integrated Management	The Timaru District Council and Canterbury Regional Council have some overlapping responsibilities under the RMA, e.g. management of natural hazards.	<i>██████ supports integrated management between TDC and ██████ especially as it relates to the management of riparian areas in and around rivers. The recent High Court Dewhirst Decision has narrowly defined what is considered a 'riverbed' under the RMA. The management of these valuable areas is important as they hold important ecological and recreational values and are ecological corridors connecting ecosystems across the district.</i>	