

Form 6

Further submission in Support of, or in Opposition to, Submissions on the Proposed Timaru District Plan – He Po. He Ao. Ka Awatea

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: **Timaru District Council**

This is a further submission in support of, or in opposition to, a submission on the **Proposed Timaru District Plan**.

Full name of person making further submission:

Name **Holly Renee Singline and Andrew Scott Rabbidge and RSM Trust Limited**

Only certain persons can make a further submission. Please select the option that applies. I am:

A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has.

Please explain why you come within the category selected above.

Further to original subdivision number 27, the attached spreadsheet supports or opposes others submission points.

Hearing options

I wish to be heard in support of my further submission? **Yes**

If others make a similar further submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. **Yes**



Andrew Rabbidge - Director - Milward Finlay Lobb

Signature of the person authorised to sign on behalf of **Holly Renee Singline and Andrew Scott Rabbidge and RSM Trust Limited**

Date: 4 August 2023

Electronic address for service of person making submission: admin@mflnz.co.nz

Telephone: 03 684 7688

Postal address: Milward Finlay Lobb Ltd
6 The Terrace - PO Box 434
Timaru 7940

Contact person: Andrew Rabbidge

You have served a copy of the further submission on the original submitter (this is required under the Resource Management Act 1991 Schedule 1, s84(2) to be completed within 5 working days after it is served on the Timaru District Council). **Yes**

Additional template for multiple further submission points

Further submission: Holly Reenee Singline & Andrew Scott Rabbidge & RSM Trust Ltd						
This further submission is in relation to the original submission of: <i>Enter the name of the original submitter as per the SoDR. E.g. Timaru District Council</i>	This further submission is in relation to the original submission Number: <i>enter the unique</i>	The particular parts of the original submission /w/e support /oppose are:	My/our position on the original submission is: <i>Support or oppose</i>	The reasons for my/our support/ opposition to the original submission are:	Allow or disallow the original submission (in full or in part)	Give precise details (which can include tracked changes) of the decision you want the Council to make in relation to the original submission point
Lifestyle Builds Ltd	7.2	Support that the 80m2 limitation for a minor residential building should exclude garages and verandahs etc.	Support	Rule as proposed is too restrictive	Allow in full	Amend RLZ-R2.PER-3 for minor residential building along the following lines (similar to Waimakariri DC):Gross habitable floor area (measure outside of cladding) 80m2, excludes terrace, sundecks, garages, verandahs.
Bruce Speirs	66.2	Opposed to the proposed alterations to the RLZ.	Oppose	The Proposed District Plan was notified prior to the introduction of the NPS-HPL and provided for limited areas of Rural Lifestyle Zoned land. The NPS-HPL provides for Highly Productive Land to provide for Rural Lifestyle allotments that were notified prior to 17 October 2023, being the operative date of the NPS-HPL.	Disallow	Disallow and remove the Highly Productive Land notation from all Timaru District Council Future Development Areas (FDA's) within the Proposed Timaru District Plan.
Bruce Speirs	66.30	Support the deletion of FDA-R10.	Support	The Non-Complying subdivision consent status is considered to be overly restrictive and unnecessary within all identified Future Development Areas (FDA's).	Allow	Delete FDA-R10 Subdivision resulting in an allotment less than 40ha
Bruce Speirs	66.56	Support in full to amend SUB-R1 Boundary Adjustment.	Support	Support that boundary adjustments should be classified as a Discretionary Activity rather than a Non complying Activity.	Allow in full	Amend SUB-R1 Boundary adjustment as follows: Boundary adjustment Activity status: Controlled Where: CON-1 SUB-S1 is complied with; and [...] Activity status when compliance not achieved with CON-1: Non-complying Discretionary
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.195	Retain Future Development Area, FDA 9	Oppose	Future Development Areas have been established based on extensive reporting and analysis completed for the Timaru District Council including the Growth Management Strategy. Future Development Areas manage and provide for future growth in the District in a coordinated and efficient manner.	Oppose	Retain FDA 9-Gleniti North Future Development Area.
Ryan De Joux	157.2	Support in full a reduction in timeframe for FDA's.	Support	To ensure land that has already been identified by the Timaru District Council as being suitable for Future Development proceed to provide for the economic well being and growth of the Timaru District.	Allow in full	Amend all Future Development Areas shown as "Future Area - Beyond 10 years" to Future Area - Beyond 5 to 10 years"
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.14	Support in full for the deletion of EI-R26.	Support	The duplication of an earthworks consent for the installation of new services is unnecessary and we support the deletion of EI-R26.	Allow in full	Delete EI-R26
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.60	Support amendments to EW-S1.	Support	Earthworks associated with subdivision can adequately be addressed by conditions of subdivision consent.	Allow in full	Amend EW-S1 Areas to exclude earthworks associated with implementing a subdivision consent prior to receiving section 224(c) RMA Certification, in the General Residential Zone and Medium Density Residential Zone.
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.62	Support amendments to FC-P2.	Support	We support the need for further clarification with respect to Council's policies for Financial Contributions & FC-P2.	Allow in full	Amend FC-P2 Financial contributions for infrastructure and facilities to provide clarity.

Rooney Holdings Limited	174.63	Support amendments to APP7.	Support	Support for amendments to Water, Stormwater, Wastewater & Roading Financial Contributions - APP7 to be more specific.	Allow in full	Redraft APP7 - Financial Contribution 1.0 Water, Stormwater, Wastewater and Roading.
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.64	Support amendments to APP7.	Support	We support to require for Council to ensure that Financial Contributions are fair and equitable particularly for contributions that are imposed retrospectively.	Allow	Amend APP7 - Financial Contribution , 1.0 Water, Stormwater, Wastewater and Roading to make it clear that any infrastructure contribution will be an equitable share of the full cost of any upgrade required as a result of the development.
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.103	Support to retain SUB-P15	Support	Support for on site effluent disposal with the RLZ where a reticulated sewer network is unavailable.	Allow	Retain SUB-P15 as notified.
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.166	Opposed to the proposed amendments to the Future Development Areas overlay.	Oppose	To ensure land that has already been identified by the Timaru District Council as being suitable for Future Development proceed to provide for the economic well being and growth of the Timaru District.	Disallow	Amend all Future Development Areas shown as "Future Area - Beyond 10 years" to Future Area - Beyond 5 to 10 years" as per submission 157.2.
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	208.31	Opposed to the proposed amendments to SD-01	Oppose	SD-01 correctly refers to reticulated sewer and water supply networks to service Rural Lifestyle zones (RLZ). With a number of cost effective and reliable satellite/wireless internet options available, specific provision for reticulated fibre within SD-01 is unnecessary and is not supported.	Disallow in full	Disallow without amendment.
Chorus New Zealand Limited	209.31	Opposed to the proposed amendments to SD-01	Oppose	SD-01 correctly refers to reticulated sewer and water supply networks to service Rural Lifestyle zones (RLZ). With a number of cost effective and reliable satellite/wireless internet options available, specific provision for reticulated fibre within SD-01 is unnecessary and is not supported.	Disallow in full	Disallow without amendment.
Vodafone New Zealand Limited	210.31	Opposed to the proposed amendments to SD-01	Oppose	SD-01 correctly refers to reticulated sewer and water supply networks to service Rural Lifestyle zones (RLZ). With a number of cost effective and reliable satellite/wireless internet options available, specific provision for reticulated fibre within SD-01 is unnecessary and is not supported.	Disallow in full	Disallow without amendment.
Kāinga Ora	229.55	Agree that the FC- Financial Contributions lack clarity and certainty.	Support	Support the complete review of the FC- Financial Contributions and the related Appendix 7.	Allow in full	Delete all provisions of the FC-Financial Contribution Chapter , including related Appendix 7; AND Amend to ensure it is more clearly and comprehensively set out, in accordance with S77E of the Amendment Act.
Kāinga Ora	229.56	Support that APP7 and all related FC-Financial Contributions provides insufficient purpose and clarity.	Support	Support the complete review of the FC- Financial Contributions and the related Appendix 7.	Allow in full	Delete APP7 and all related provisions from the FC- Financial Contributions chapter; AND Amend to ensure it is more clearly and comprehensively set out, in accordance with S77E of the Amendment Act.
Horticulture New Zealand	245.82	The integration of Future Development Area within the receiving environment is supported.	Support	Support for a proposed amendment to clause 7 of FDA-P4 to manage reverse sensitivity.	Support	Amend FDA-P4 as follows: FDA-P4 Development Area Plans Require Development Area Plans to provide for a comprehensive, coordinated and efficient development that addresses the following matters: 1. ... [...]; 7. the integration of the area with surrounding areas and the way any conflict and reverse sensitivity is to be managed; [...]

Horticulture New Zealand	245.83	Oppose in full	Oppose	Highly productive land is sought to be removed from highly productive land under the NPS-HPL. As FDA-P5 as notified includes the preparation of a Development Area plan in accordance with FDA-P4. The relief sought under our submission to 245.82 incorporates the management of reverse sensitivity within FDA-P4 making any reference to reverse sensitivity under FDA-P5 unnecessary.	Oppose in full	Remove highly productive land under the NPS-HPL from within all Future Development Areas; AND Amend FDA-P4 as per our submission on FDA-P4 above.
Horticulture New Zealand	245.84	Oppose in full	Oppose	Horticulture NZ seeks to remove identified Highly Productive Land from Future Development Areas by relying on the provisions of the NPS-HPL. However FDA's were notified prior to the NPS-HPL being operative. FDA-P5 as notified includes the preparation of a Development Area plan in accordance with FDA-P4. The relief sought under our submission to 245.82 incorporates the management of reverse sensitivity within FDA-P4 making any reference to reverse sensitivity under FDA-P5 unnecessary.	Oppose in full	Remove highly productive land under the NPS-HPL from within all Future Development Areas.
Horticulture New Zealand	245.85	Oppose the concerns raised in relation to Development Areas incorporating LUC class land.	Oppose	Horticulture NZ seeks to remove identified Highly Productive Land from Future Development Areas by relying on the provisions of the NPS-HPL. However FDA's were notified prior to the NPS-HPL being operative. FDA-P5 as notified includes the preparation of a Development Area plan in accordance with FDA-P4.	Oppose	Remove highly productive land under the NPS-HPL from within all Future Development Areas. Support for a proposed amendment to clause 7 of FDA-P4 to manage reverse sensitivity.