

Timaru District Council 2 King George Place Timaru 7910 Phone: 03 687 7200

Further Submission in Support of, or in Opposition to the Proposed Timaru District Plan

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

Further submissions close on Friday 4 August 2023 at 5pm

To: Timaru District Council

This is a further submission in support of, or in opposition to, a submission on the **Proposed Timaru District Plan**.

Full name of person making further submission:

John Chapman

Organisation name and contact (if representing a group or organisation):

Click to enter text.

Only certain persons can make a further submission. Please select the option that applies. I am:

- □ a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest;
- ✓ a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has;
- \Box the local authority for the relevant area.

Please explain why you come within the category selected above:

My property is located within a Drinking Water Protection Zone, and the XXX Stream runs through my property.

Hearing options

I wish to be heard in support of my further submission? \checkmark Yes \Box No

If others make a similar further submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

✓ Yes □ No

Signature: Jamie Robinson Date: 11.8.2023

(of person making submission or person authorised to make decision on behalf)

PLEASE NOTE - A signature is not required if you submit this form electronically. By entering your name in the box above you are giving your authority for this application to proceed.

Electronic address for service of person making further submission: jamie.robinson@duncancotterill.com

Telephone: 021376459

Postal address (*or* alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act): c/- Duncan Cotterill, PO Box 5, Christchurch 8140

Contact person: [name and designation, if applicable]: Jamie Robinson

You have served a copy of the further submission on the original submitter (this is required under the Resource Management Act 1991 Schedule 1, s8A(2) to be completed within 5 working days after it is served on the Timaru District Council)

🖌 Yes 🛛 🗆 No

Further submissions close on Friday 4 August 2023 at 5pm.

| This further submission is in relation | This further submission is | The particular parts of the original submission I/we support /oppose | My/our position | The reasons for my/our support/ opposition to the original | Allow or disallow the original | Give precise details (which can include tracked changes) of the decision you want the |
|--|-----------------------------|--|-----------------|--|---------------------------------|--|
| to the original submission of: | in relation to the original | are. | on the original | submission are: | submission (in full or in part) | Council to make in relation to the original submission point |
| Enter the name of the original | submission Number: | | submission is: | | Submission (in run or in purc) | |
| submitter as per the SoDR. | enter the unique | | Support or | | | |
| E.g. Timaru District Council | submission umber as per | | oppose | | | |
| | the SoDR. | | oppose | | | |
| | E.g. 42.45 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | The Timaru District Council seeks to impose significant | | |
| | | | | controls on the land captured within the DWPA. The area is | | |
| | | | | significantly larger than groundwater assessment considered necessary, and I am currently exploring what the ECan | | |
| | | | | process was to amend the zone, as it went from applying | | |
| | | | | over 8ha of my land to 138ha. The proposal would limit my | | |
| | | | | ability to use my land for anything other than non-intensive | | |
| | | | | farming. The submission provides no justification for the | | |
| | | | | extensive controls, and the non-complying activity status is | | |
| | | Oppose the requested addition of nine matters which the TDC requests | | overly restrictive. Assessment of the proposal in light of the | | |
| | | become non-complying activities within Drinking Water Protection | | cost it imposes on land owners should be provided by | | |
| Timaru District Council | 42.42 | Areas (DWPA). | Oppose | Council, and has not been. | Disallow in full | Retain the DWPA rules as notified, and limit the extent of application of the zone. |
| | | | | Rural land use is controlled through the Regional Council | | |
| | | Support the position that there is no restriction on rural land use in the | | process, and additional controls on water quality should not | | |
| Maze Pastures Limited | 41.1 | Drinking Water Protection area overlay. | Oppose | be bought in by way of this District Council process. | Allow in full | Retain the DWPA rules as notified with no restictions on rural land use. |
| Wazer astores Einned | 41.1 | Drinking water Protection area overlay. | oppose | The "Awarua Stream" is a gully only used for stormwater run- | | Recard the DWI Articles as notified with no restitutions on ruran and use. |
| | | Support the position that the Awarua Stream is not an ephemeral | | off. At most, it would contain water 5% of the time. | | |
| | | waterway, and should not be identified as a SASM with that level of | | Significance and extent of the area is overstated in the | | Provide the relief sought in the submission (namely to remove the entire river from the |
| EJAPS Ltd | 4.1 | protection. | Support | | Allow in full | SASM, mapping only the springs and swamp land immediately surrounding the marae). |
| | | | | P - P P - | | |
| | | | | ECan is responsible for land uses that have the potential to | | |
| | | | | adversely impact water (surface or ground), and the | | |
| | | Support the position that the rules managing intensively farmed stock | | proposed rules are duplication which will be expensive for | | |
| | 4.2 | sit sensibly with ECan, not the TDC, and duplication is inefficient. | Support | farmers and provide no additional benefit. | Allow in full | Delete SASM-R6. |
| | | | | The Federated Farmers submission sensibly balances | | |
| | | Support position that the policy and rule framework needs to enable | | environmental protection with enabling rural productive | | |
| Federated Farmers | 182.1 | primary production in rural areas. | Support | activities which are critical to the Timaru District. | Allow in full | Amend as sought by the relief in the Federated Farmers submission. |
| | 102.1 | | | The "Awarua Stream" is a gully only used for stormwater run- | | |
| | | | | off. At most, it would contain water 5% of the time. | | |
| | | Support need for mapped significant areas to be completed with | | Significance and extent of the area is overstated in the | | Reconsider extent and rules applying to the Awarua Stream, unless more scientific evidence |
| | 182.3 | landowner involvement, and restrictions backed by evidence. | Support | proposed plan. | Allow in full | can support reasons. |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | The Federated Farmers submission sensibly balances | | |
| | | | | environmental protection with enabling rural productive | All | A second second state of the first second state of the second second second second second second second second |
| | 180 | Support the Federated Farmers submission generally. | Support | activities which are critical to the Timaru District. | Allow in full | Amend as sought by the relief in the Federated Farmers submission. |
| | | | | I am currently investigating the change in the protection | | |
| | | | | zone as it applies to my property, as it went from covering | | |
| | | | | 8ha of land to 138ha. I consider that much of the area | | |
| | | | | captured within the DWPA holds no risk to the drinking | | |
| | | Support the submission seeking to amend the Table to ensure that | | water gallery. If there is found to be an error in the extent of | | |
| | | DWPA can be updated as best science dictates, rather than by way of | | the zone application, there should be a straightforward | | |
| Milward Finlay Lobb | 60.60. | plan change. | Support | pathway for amendment. | Allow in full | Amend Table 1 to remove figures and references to CLWRP. |
| | | | | The proposed rule framework within the SASM are onerous, | | |
| | | | | and should be amended to allow farming (and associated | | |
| | | Support the submission as it relates to the controls that are proposed | | ancillary activities such as earthworks and forestry) without | | |
| David and Judith Moore | 100.4 - 100.8 | to be implemented on activities within SASM. | Support | consent. | Allow in full | Amend as sought by the relief in the Moore submission. |