Form 5



Submission on Notified Proposal for Plan, Change or Variation

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991 To: Timaru District Council Name of submitter: mond Rawlings [State full name] This is a submission on the following proposed plan or on a change proposed to the following plan or on the following proposed variation to a proposed plan or on the following proposed variation to a change to an existing plan) (the 'proposal'): [State the name of proposed or existing plan and (where applicable) change or variation]. **could**/could not* gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. [*Select one.] *I am/am not[†] directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. [*Delete or strike through entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.] [†Select one.] The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are: [Give details] ability to build addition accondation on Small sites. It 30 gg in accomption to a dependent relative a My submission is: [Include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and reasons for your views] [If your submission relates to a proposed plan prepared or changed using the collaborative planning process, you must indicate the following: Where you consider that the proposed plan or change fails to give effect to a consensus position and therefore how it should be modified; or In the case that your submission addresses a point on which the collaborative group did not reach a consensus position, how that provision in the plan should be modified.]

an the Rawlings Polcher TDC court

are handreds of ex15

allowing large sheds to be built close	2 to existing	r
houses is also a problem up Had	low Slentt a	rea
The need to be restrictions taking in	to account	
heighbouring Louses		
<i>J</i> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
I seek the following decision from the local authority: [Give precise details as this is t	the only part of your submission	
that will be summarised in the summary of decisions requested]		
T 1 14 + + + + 14- dec	tract Slac	
The state of the s	in att the	••••
There is a lot of discretionary	CONV. I. JAZ. S. in	
The cost of oposino regnests Isla	1 MOST OF	2
the court does not grant costs		• • • •
The cost should be borne 50	0/50 by the	
applicant + operation		
	H	
and the second of the second o	Lacina H.	
I wish (or do not wish) † to be heard in support of my submission.		
[*In the case of a submission made on a proposed planning instrument that is subject to a streaml	lined planning process, you need	d
only indicate whether you wish to be heard if the direction specifies that a hearing will be held.]		
[†Select one.]		
	0.1	
*If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case wit	th them at a nearing.	
[*Delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case.]		
Signature of submitter (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)		••••
[A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means]		
4		
Date 6 - 12 - 2022	interest const	
Electronic address for service of submitter: helenraulings x	100000000000	
Postal address (or alternative method of service under s352 of the Act):3	18 Stenta 1	CP
A Rol Mara 7	1514	•••••
Contact person: [name and designation, if applicable] Roundings		
Note to person making submission		

- 1. If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B. If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.
- 2. Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):
 - It is frivolous or vexatious:
 - It discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
 - It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
 - It contains offensive language:
 - It is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialist knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.