

Form 6

**Further submission in Support of, or in Opposition to, Submissions on the Proposed
Timaru District Plan – He Po. He Ao. Ka Awatea**
Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Timaru District Council

This is a further submission in support of, or in opposition to, a submission on the **Proposed Timaru District Plan**.

Full name of person making further submission:

Name Christopher James McKnight and Sharon Olive McKnight

Only certain persons can make a further submission. Please select the option that applies. I am:

A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has.

Please explain why you come within the category selected above.

Further to original subdivision number 30, the attached spreadsheet supports or opposes others submission points.

Hearing options

I wish to be heard in support of my further submission? Yes

If others make a similar further submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. Yes



Andrew Rabbidge - Director - Milward Finlay Lobb

Signature of the person authorised to sign on behalf of **Christopher James McKnight and Sharon Olive McKnight**

Date: 4 August 2023

Electronic address for service of person making submission: admin@mflnz.co.nz

Telephone: 03 684 7688

Postal address: Milward Finlay Lobb Ltd
6 The Terrace - PO Box 434
Timaru 7940

Contact person: Andrew Rabbidge

You have served a copy of the further submission on the original submitter (this is required under the Resource Management Act 1991 Schedule 1, s84(2) to be completed within 5 working days after it is served on the Timaru District Council). Yes

Additional template for multiple further submission points

Further submission on behalf of Christopher James McKnight and Sharon Olive McKnight						
This further submission is in relation to the original submission of: <i>Enter the name of the original submitter as per the SoDR. E.g. Timaru District Council</i>	This further submission is in relation to the original submission Number: <i>enter the unique</i>	The particular parts of the original submission I/we support /oppose are:	My/our position on the original submission is: <i>Support or oppose</i>	The reasons for my/our support/ opposition to the original submission are:	Allow or disallow the original submission (in full or in part)	Give precise details (which can include tracked changes) of the decision you want the Council to make in relation to the original submission point
Bruce Speirs	66.28	Support in part to accommodate the NPS-HPL.	Support in part	Support the proposed amendments to the Rural General Zone only.	Allow in part by modifying the General Rural Zone standards only.	Amend SUB-S1 Allotment sizes and dimensions as follows: 3 General Rural Zone 1- Allotments must have a minimum net site area of 40ha in area : 1 Highly Production Soils <i>[insert appropriate allotment size]</i> 2 Other Soils <i>[insert appropriate allotment size] [...]</i>
Bruce Speirs	66.56	Support in full to amend SUB-R1 Boundary Adjustment.	Support	Support that boundary adjustments should be classified as a Discretionary Activity rather than a Non complying Activity.	Allow in full	Amend SUB-R1 Boundary adjustment as follows: Boundary adjustment Activity status: Controlled Where: CON-1 <i>SUB-S1 is complied with; and [...]</i> Activity status when compliance not achieved with CON-1: Non-complying Discretionary
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.4	Support in full for Council compensation to landowners.	Support	We support the submission that the Proposed District Plan include policy direction for compensation to provide for Council's future needs over and above the minimum requirements.	Allow in full	Amend the Proposed District Plan to provide compensation to landowners where they are required/requested to provide land to provide for Council's future needs over and above the minimum requirements.
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.62	Support amendments to FC-P2.	Support	We support the need for further clarification with respect to Council's policies for Financial Contributions & FC-P2.	Allow in full	Amend FC-P2 Financial contributions for infrastructure and facilities to provide clarity.
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.63	Support amendments to APP7.	Support	Support for amendments to Water, Stormwater, Wastewater & Roading Financial Contributions - APP7 to be more specific.	Allow in full	Redraft APP7 - Financial Contribution 1.0 Water, Stormwater, Wastewater and Roading.
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.64	Support amendments to APP7.	Support	We support the submitter's position to require that Council ensure Financial Contributions are fair and equitable particularly for contributions that are imposed retrospectively.	Allow	Amend APP7 - Financial Contribution , 1.0 Water, Stormwater, Wastewater and Roading to make it clear that any infrastructure contribution will be an equitable share of the full cost of any upgrade required as a result of the development.
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.103	Support to retain SUB-P15	Support	Support for on site effluent disposal within the RLZ where a reticulated sewer network is unavailable. It is not always practical to have a reticulated sewer network available and it is considered appropriate in this instance that obtaining a wastewater discharge consent from ECan would be the most appropriate way of managing this.	Allow	Retain SUB-P15 as notified or preserve original intent.
Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	185.17	Support the amendments as proposed to SD-O1.	Support	Amendments to Objective SD-O1 are supported to reflect the functional need for some activities and growth to occur outside the urban area.	Allow in full	Amend SD-O1 Residential Areas and Activities to better reflect the functional need for some activities and growth to occur outside the urban area.

Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	208.82	Oppose the proposed amendments to Sub-S5	Oppose in full	SUB-S5 as notified adequately addresses the provision for electricity supply and telecommunications, bearing in mind the advancements in satellite internet technology. The provision of easements for telecommunications forms part of the supply contract entered into with the network provider without the need for any specific standards within the District Plan as is the case with the Timaru District Plan currently.	Disallow in full	Retain SUB-S5 as notified.
Chorus New Zealand Limited	209.82	Oppose the proposed amendments to Sub-S5	Oppose in full	SUB-S5 as notified adequately addresses the provision for electricity supply and telecommunications, bearing in mind the advancements in satellite internet technology. The provision of easements for telecommunications forms part of the supply contract entered into with the network provider without the need for any specific standards within the District Plan as is the case with the Timaru District Plan currently.	Disallow in full	Retain SUB-S5 as notified.
Vodafone New Zealand Limited	210.82	Oppose the proposed amendments to Sub-S5	Oppose in full	SUB-S5 as notified adequately addresses the provision for electricity supply and telecommunications, bearing in mind the advancements in satellite internet technology. The provision of easements for telecommunications forms part of the supply contract entered into with the network provider without the need for any specific standards within the District Plan as is the case with the Timaru District Plan currently.	Disallow in full	Retain SUB-S5 as notified.
Venture Timaru	212.2	Support that housing diversity needs to be enabled.	Support	Support the position of Venture Timaru that it is good to see housing diversity be encouraged and that this needs to be enabled further.	Allow in full	Agree with the overall notion of the submitter that there is no specific relief to be sought, but the principles should be taken into account by Council.
Kāinga Ora	229.55	Agree that the FC- Financial Contributions lack clarity and certainty.	Support	Support the complete review of the FC- Financial Contributions and the related Appendix 7.	Allow in full	Delete all provisions of the FC-Financial Contribution Chapter , including related Appendix 7; AND Amend to ensure it is more clearly and comprehensively set out, in accordance with S77E of the Amendment Act.
Kāinga Ora	229.56	Support that APP7 and all related FC-Financial Contributions provides insufficient purpose and clarity.	Support	Support the complete review of the FC- Financial Contributions and the related Appendix 7.	Allow in full	Delete APP7 and all related provisions from the FC- Financial Contributions chapter; AND Amend to ensure it is more clearly and comprehensively set out, in accordance with S77E of the Amendment Act.