

Timaru District Council 2 King George Place Timaru 7910 Phone: 03 687 7200

Further Submission Form

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

Further submissions close at 5pm on Friday 1 August 2025

To: Timaru District Council

This is a further submission in support of, or in opposition to, a submission on the **Proposed Timaru District** Plan.

PLEASE NOTE: Further submissions are limited to Submission Point 183.28 listed in the notified SODR Addendum 3 only.

Further Submitter Details							
Full name of person making further submission: Toni Morrison & Nathan Hole							
						Organisat	ion name and contact (if representing a gro
Email:	toni@policy.co.nz						
Telephone	phone: 0272667633						
Postal add	dress (<i>or</i> alternative method of service unde	er section 352 of the Act):					
Refer en	nail above						
Contact	person: [name and designation if applicable]:	Toni Morrison					
Only certa	ain persons can make a further submission.	Please select the option that applies.					
□ a per	son representing a relevant aspect of the pr	ublic interest;					
a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has;							
☐ the lo	ocal authority for the relevant area.						
Please exp	plain why you selected the category above:						
We are I areas.	andowners in the area affected by the new	overlay and the rules that will now apply to those					

Hearing Options

I wish to be heard in support of my further submission	? ✓ Yes	S □ No
If others make a similar further submission, I will consider	presentin	g a joint case with them at a hearing.
☐ Yes ✓ No		
Signature: Toni Morrison	Date:	31 July 2025
(of person making submission or person authorised to	nake dec	ision on behalf)
PLEASE NOTE - A signature is not required if you submit the date in the box above you are giving your authority	-	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
You have served a copy of the further submission on the Resource Management Act 1991 Schedule 1, s8A(2) to served on the Timaru District Council)	•	·
✓ Yes □ No		

Name of person making further submission: Toni Morrison & Nathan Hole

This further submission is in relation to the original submission of: Enter the name of the original submitter as per the SoDR. E.g. Timaru District Council	This further submission is in relation to the original submission Number:	The particular parts of the original submission I/we support /oppose are:	My/our position on the original submission is: Support or oppose	The reasons for my/our support/ opposition to the original submission are:	Allow or disallow the original submission (in full or in part)	Give precise details (which can include tracked changes) of the decision you want the Council to make in relation to the original submission point
Environment Canterbury (Canterbury Regional Council)	183.28	The extent of the proposed flood mapping given the District Plan rules that will then apply to those areas	Oppose	We understand that Ecan submitted that the planning maps be amended to encompass wider areas 'potentially subject to flood hazard risk'. They subsequently provided an updated flood hazard area assessment overlay map which includes a much larger extent on many properties. None of our property was originally identified as being subject to the flood hazard overlay and therefore we did not consider the effects of the rules associated with this overlay. The revised assessment now covers a significant part of our property and appears to cover areas that have not flooded previously. There seems to have a been a	Disallow the submission, unless there is a consequent change to the relevant rules that apply.	Rule NH-R1 (Earthworks) provides for earthworks in the flood assessment area overlay as a permitted activity. However for the activity to be permitted, both performance standards must be complied with. One of these requires a flood risk certificate to be obtained from TDC in accordance with NH-S1. The current fee for this is \$1200. This is a significant cost for what ostensibly is a permitted activity, and will capture any scale or type of earthworks, even minor or routine works. It is now apparently to apply over a large area of our farm. It is not clear from the permitted rule whether or not this further assessment that is required from TDC will be approved. This raises issues of certainty for those undertaking earthworks. If a certificate is not obtained then a consent will be required. The deposit fees alone are currently \$1,800, not including an additional monitoring fee which is also charged regardless of whether the activity is monitored.

This further submission is in relation to the original submission of: Enter the name of the original submitter as per the SoDR. E.g. Timaru District Council	This further submission is in relation to the original submission Number:	The particular parts of the original submission I/we support /oppose are:	My/our position on the original submission is: Support or oppose	The reasons for my/our support/ opposition to the original submission are:	Allow or disallow the original submission (in full or in part)	Give precise details (which can include tracked changes) of the decision you want the Council to make in relation to the original submission point
				buffer applied to the mapping. There appears to have been no consideration given to the attached rules that will now apply to much larger areas that were not anticipated when the proposed Plan was notified.		We note the s42A report appears to conclude that the cost of a flood assessment certificate is in the order of \$150-200. We understand this to relate to the cost of a Flood Hazard Assessment certificate from ECan, but that is not what the rule requires. The TDC fees are much higher. This is of significant concern for no apparent additional benefit, and will impact many rural landowners. We consider that the effect of the overlay as it relates to the rules should be subject to further consideration and amendment. If the overlay is considered appropriate, changes should be made to the rules to ensure appropriate thresholds are set for rural activites such as earthworks, as a minimim so they do not require flood hazard certification from TDC.

Note to person making further submission:

Further submissions are limited to matters in support of or in opposition to submission points which were omitted or incorrectly summarised in the original summary of submissions. These points can be found on the Council's website at www.timaru.govt.nz/pdpfs.

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the Timaru District Council, you can find contact details for the original submitters within the Submitter Index at www.timaru.govt.nz/pdpfs.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- It is frivolous or vexatious;
- It discloses no reasonable or relevant case;
- It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further;
- It contains offensive language;
- It is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

The above form is in the format required by Form 6 of the Resource Management (Forms, Fees and Procedure) Regulations 2003.

Returning this form

You can:

- Email it to: pdp@timdc.govt.nz Subject line: Errata Further Submission
- Post it to: Timaru District Council, PO Box 522, Timaru, 7940
- Deliver it to a Council Service Centre in Timaru, Temuka or Geraldine.

Further submissions close at 5pm on Friday 1 August 2025.

Privacy Act 2020

Please note information on this form and the content of your submission will be made publicly available as part of the decision-making process.