

Timaru District Council 2 King George Place Timaru 7910 Phone: 03 687 7200

Further Submission Form

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

Further submissions close at 5pm on Friday 1 August 2025

To: Timaru District Council

This is a further submission in support of, or in opposition to, a submission on the **Proposed Timaru District Plan**.

PLEASE NOTE: Further submissions are limited to Submission Point 183.28 listed in the notified SODR Addendum 3 only.

Further Submitter Details

Further Submitter Details							
Full name	Full name of person making further submission:						
Mark Ta	pley						
Organisat	ion name and contact (if representing a gro	up or organisation):					
MT Trus	t						
Email:	Email: mark@pfe.nz						
Telephon	e: 0277799008						
Postal add	dress (<i>or</i> alternative method of service unde	r section 352 of the Act):					
80 Brake	e Road, RD22, Geraldine 7992						
Contact person: [name and designation if applicable]: Mark Tapley							
Only cert I am:	ain persons can make a further submission.	Please select the option that applies.					
□ а рег	\square a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest;						
✓ a per	✓ a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has;						
☐ the local authority for the relevant area.							
Please ex	plain why you selected the category above:						
I own a p	property that is affected by the proposed pla	an change					

Hearing Options

I wish to be heard in support of my further submission	i? □ Ye:	s ✓ No
If others make a similar further submission, I will conside	r presentir	g a joint case with them at a hearing.
☐ Yes ✓ No		
Signature: Mark Tapley	Date:	1 August 2025
(of person making submission or person authorised to	make dec	ision on behalf)
PLEASE NOTE - A signature is not required if you submit the date in the box above you are giving your authority	-	, ,
You have served a copy of the further submission on Resource Management Act 1991 Schedule 1, s8A(2) t served on the Timaru District Council) ✓ Yes □ No	•	•
· IES LINU		

Name of person making further submission: Mark Tapley

This further	This	The	My/our	The reasons for my/our support/ opposition to the original submission are:	Allow or	Give
submission	further	particular	position		disallow	precise
is in relation	submissio	parts of the	on the		the	details
to the	n is in	original	original		original	(which can
original	relation	submission	submissio		submissio	include
submission	to the	I/we	n is:		n (in full	tracked
of:	original	support	Support		or in part)	changes)
Enter the	submissio	/oppose	or oppose			of the
name of the	n	are:				decision
original	Number:					you want
submitter as						the Council
per the	E.g. 42.45					to make in
SoDR.						relation to
E.g. Timaru						the
District						original
Council						submission
						point

Environme	183.28	Oppose	Oppose	The ECan submission lacks sufficient detail to determine if the proposed expanded flooding hazard	disallow	Retain the
nt	103.20	Hazards	Оррозс	risk areas are sensible. Further, their submission mixes up the Selwyn and Timaru District Councils,	aisailow	original
Canterbury		and Risks;		and so it clearly hasn't been prepared with sufficient care or attention to detail. The submission		proposed
(Canterbur		natural		merely states "We consider that the areas potentially subject to flooding are too narrow". Further		flood risk
y Regional		Hazards;		on ECan's website https://www.ecan.govt.nz/your-region/plans-strategies-and-bylaws/what-we-		assessme
Council)		Mapping		know/natural-hazards/flood-		nt areas,
Council		amendme		hazards#:~:text=the%20Orari%20River-,Climate%20change,expected%20to%20occur%20more%20f		and
		nt to		requently. They merely state that they "undertake flood modelling across the region" but there is		
		increase		no criteia for what may constitute an are where a flood risk assessment is appropriate.		ignore the submissio
				The critera for what may constitute an are where a mood risk assessment is appropriate.		
		area		It is important to recognise that there are costs and benefits which need to be assessed in any plan		n by ECan
		potentially		change, including the imposition of designating properties in flood assessment areas. Costs include		to expand
		subject to		potential impact on property values, likely increased insurance premiums or refusal of insurance,		them.
		flooding		and the cost and time needed to undertake flood risk assessments. No cost benefit assessment has		
				been made and the propsed increased Flood Risk Assessment Area affects thousands of properties.		
				This is further justification for the proposed amendment by ECan to be ignored.		
				The draft plan's original Flood Risk Assessment areas appeared to be more ligically linked to areas		
				where flooding is likely, and these should be retained at least until some defined criteria is		
				developed to define an area where Flood Risk Assessments are needed, a cost benefit study is		
				undertaken, and individual landwoners are consutled by direct contact.		
				undertaken, and marvidual landwoners are consulted by direct contact.		

Note to person making further submission:

Further submissions are limited to matters in support of or in opposition to submission points which were omitted or incorrectly summarised in the original summary of submissions. These points can be found on the Council's website at www.timaru.govt.nz/pdpfs.

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the Timaru District Council, you can find contact details for the original submitters within the Submitter Index at www.timaru.govt.nz/pdpfs.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- It is frivolous or vexatious;
- It discloses no reasonable or relevant case;
- It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further;
- It contains offensive language;
- It is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

The above form is in the format required by Form 6 of the Resource Management (Forms, Fees and Procedure) Regulations 2003.

Returning this form

You can:

- Email it to: pdp@timdc.govt.nz Subject line: Errata Further Submission
- Post it to: Timaru District Council, PO Box 522, Timaru, 7940
- Deliver it to a Council Service Centre in Timaru, Temuka or Geraldine.

Further submissions close at 5pm on Friday 1 August 2025.

Privacy Act 2020

Please note information on this form and the content of your submission will be made publicly available as part of the decision-making process.