

File No.: 30395

4 August 2025

Timaru District Council
PO Box 522
TIMARU 7940

Attention: Hearing Panel – Proposed Timaru District Plan – Hearing G: Growth; Designations

Email: pdp@timdc.govt.nz

Tēnā koutou,

RE: Minute 42 – Submitter 128 (Scott) – Response to Further Information Request

Thank you for the opportunity to provide further clarification following Hearing G.

We write on behalf of Warren and Liz Scott (Submitter 128) to confirm the final relief sought and to outline our position in response to the Panel's Minute 42.

Following discussions with our client, we withdraw the alternative relief seeking a Future Urban Zone (FUZ). While we continue to believe FUZ represents a more enabling and transparent planning approach, the submitter has elected not to proceed with the preparation of a section 32AA evaluation for this option. The rationale behind this decision is primarily as follows;

- The significant cost and complexity of rebutting Council's capacity modelling, including the absence of any informing information from Mr Heath's response to the Panel in regards to Geraldine's capacity assessment; and
- Including whether FUZ would be endorsed as the preferred zoning pathway, along with the more enabling direction signalled by central government through emerging spatial planning guidance and potential legislation changes; and
- The uncertainty and constraints imposed by the current RMA and Proposed District Plan framework.

In light of this withdrawal, no s32AA evaluation is required as the relief now sought aligns with the original submission and technical evidence already before the Panel.

Accordingly, we confirm the submitter is now seeking the following :

1. Primary relief: Rezone the site identified as FDA 3 – Scott's Farm from GRUZ to GRZ;
2. Secondary relief sought is to amend Schedule 15 to advance the timing of FDA3, DAP Timeframe from Priority Area – 5 years to Priority Area – 2 years;
3. Beyond the relief sought above, the submitter supports retention of the FDA overlay.

This relief is supported by the infrastructure and servicing feasibility evidence already provided, which demonstrates that the site is suitable for residential development, can be efficiently serviced and will contribute to a well-functioning urban environment in alignment with the District's strategic growth planning framework.

We welcome any follow-up questions and remain available to support the Panel's deliberations.

Nāku noa, nā

DAVIS OGILVIE (AORAKI) LTD



SONIA DOLAN

PRINCIPAL PLANNER

BERP, PG Cert. Urban & Rural Regen. MNZPI

Email: sonia.dolan@do.nz