# RESOURCE PLANNING AND REGULATION COMMITTEE 

## FOR THE MEETING OF 3 AUGUST 2010

## Report for Agenda Item No

Prepared by - Rick Catchpowle<br>Environmental Services Manager

Dog Control Annual Report - 2009-2010

## Purpose of Report

The purpose of this report is to acquaint the Council of dog control activities during the period 1 July 2009 to 30 June 2010.

## Background

Section 10A of the Dog Control Act 1996 requires that the Council provides specific data to the Secretary for Local Government by way of an annual report each financial year. This report is provided to satisfy that requirement.

## Options

It is a statutory requirement of Council to provide an annual report each financial year which must be publicly notified and forwarded to the Secretary for Local Government.

## Identification of Relevant Legislation, Council Policy and Plans

- Dog Control Act 1996
- Timaru District Council Bylaw, Chapter 12 - Control of Dogs
- Timaru District Council Policy Document - Dog Control


## Assessment of Significance

This issue is not considered significant in relation to the overall Council activities.

## Consultation

No consultation is proposed in respect of this report.

## Dog Control Statistics

The following dog control data relates to the 12 month period ending 30 June 2010.

1 Number of registered dogs

|  | $2006 / 07$ | $2007 / 08$ | $2008 / 09$ | $2009 / 10$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Timaru | 3194 | 2780 | 2952 | 2735 |
| Geraldine | 1112 | 1548 | 1595 | 1700 |
| Pleasant Point | 181 | 195 | 210 | 139 |
| Temuka | 2012 | 1335 | 720 | 715 |
| Pareora | 99 | 345 | 1531 | 1662 |
| Rural areas | 2447 | 859 | 1754 | 1465 |
| Non-designated | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 3 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 1 6}$ |

*Note: Whilst the total of registered dogs within the district is considered accurate, the breakdown for specific areas should not be taken as exact, due to the previous ill defined geographical areas and antiquated reported methods. However, new reporting methods should ensure an accurate breakdown for 2010/11.

2 Number of probationary owners

|  | $2006 / 07$ | $2007 / 08$ | $2008 / 09$ | $2009 / 10$ | Trend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | $\rightarrow$ |

3 Number of disqualified owners

|  | $2006 / 07$ | $2007 / 08$ | $2008 / 09$ | $2009 / 10$ | Trend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\rightarrow$ |

4 Number of dogs classified as dangerous

|  | $2006 / 07$ | $2007 / 08$ | $2008 / 09$ | $2009 / 10$ | Trend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 2 | 4 | 3 | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |

5 Number of dogs classified as menacing

|  | $2006 / 07$ | $2007 / 08$ | $2008 / 09$ | $2009 / 10$ | Trend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 34 | 35 | 46 | 52 | $\uparrow$ |

## 6 <br> Number of dog related service requests received

|  |  | $2006 / 07$ | $2007 / 08$ | $2008 / 09$ | $2009 / 10$ | Trend |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Person wanting to <br> adopt a dog | Full <br> Year | 18 | 33 | 17 | 13 | $\boldsymbol{\downarrow}$ |
| Barking dog | Full <br> Year | 235 | 249 | 242 | 250 | $\boldsymbol{\rightharpoonup}$ |

( ${ }^{1}$ ) Increase due to community micro chipping being included in this category

## 7 Number of dogs impounded

|  |  | 2006/07 | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 | Trend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Collected by owner | Full Year | 243 | 273 | 275 | 278 | $\rightarrow$ |
| Destroyed | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Full } \\ & \text { Year } \end{aligned}$ | 56 | 170 | 97 | 86 | $\downarrow$ |
| Adopted from pound | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Full } \\ & \text { Year } \end{aligned}$ | 28 | 30 | 8 | 6 | $\rightarrow$ |
| Total | Full Year | 329 | 475 | 372 | 370 | $\rightarrow$ |

## 8 Number of infringement notices issued

|  |  | $2006 / 07$ | $2007 / 08$ | $2008 / 09$ | $2009 / 10$ | Trend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unregistered | Full <br> Year | 30 | 123 | 317 | 460 | $\uparrow$ |
| Non- <br> compliance - <br> fencing order | - | - | - | 19 | $\uparrow$ |  |
| No control / <br> confined | Full <br> Year | 37 | 34 | 73 | 119 | $\uparrow$ |
| Failure to <br> comply - <br> menacing | - | - | - | 39 | - |  |
| Dog in <br> Prohibited <br> Area | Full <br> year | 1 | 30 | 11 | 2 | $\downarrow$ |
| Obstruction | Full <br> Year | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | $\downarrow$ |
| Failure to <br> comply - <br> microchipping | - | - | - | 105 | - |  |
| Failure to <br> advise of <br> change of <br> address | Full <br> Year | 1 | 4 | 2 | 5 | $\downarrow$ |
| Total | Full <br> Year | $\mathbf{6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 1}$ | $\uparrow$ |

## 9 Number of prosecutions undertaken

|  | $2006 / 07$ | $2007 / 08$ | $2008 / 09$ | $2009 / 10$ | Trend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 0 | 2 | 5 | 5 | $\rightarrow$ |



|  | $2005 / 2006$ | $2006 / 2007$ | $2007 / 2008$ | $2008 / 2009$ | $2009 / 10$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service <br> Request | 1486 | 1620 仑 <br> $(9 \%)$ | $2059 \uparrow$ <br> $(27 \%)$ | $2128 \uparrow$ | $1735 \downarrow$ |

This decrease can be explained by a greater awareness by dog owners of their obligations to the Dog Control Act 1996 and Chapter 12 of the Timaru District Council Bylaw 2004 (Dog Control).

Total Number of Dogs Impounded


|  | $2005 / 2006$ | $2006 / 2007$ | $2007 / 2008$ | $2008 / 2009$ | $2009 / 10$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Impounding | 343 | $329 \Omega(4 \%)$ | $473 \uparrow(44 \%)$ | $372 \downarrow$ | $370 \rightarrow$ |

This slight decrease can be explained by the continuing focus on educating and compliance with registration and the increasing Selected Owner classifications. This, as previously reported, includes additional site inspections and fencing enforcement.


|  | $2005 / 2006$ | $2006 / 2007$ | $2007 / 2008$ | $2009 / 2010$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Registered | 8224 | 8336 仓 (1\%) | $7062 \downarrow(15 \%)$ | $8416 \uparrow$ |

This increase can be explained by the continued non-registered project that has been undertaken throughout the year. The Council's electronic records have also been updated to reflect the transferred and deceased dogs previously reported.

## Selected Owners

The registration fees, discounts the qualified SOP owner. The 2009/10 year has seen a slight decrease of qualified owners with $8 \%$ of all dog owners being approved SOP owners. Any enforcement action undertaken results in a stand down period from the SOP programme.

## Education

Previously the team has focussed on education of both the dog owners and the wider community by way of school talks, community presentations and individual coaching. This approach has recently been supplemented by increased patrolling of areas such as Caroline Bay where officers are able to discuss issues and concerns directly with dog owners.

## K9 Newsletter

The K9 newsletter again went out to all dog owners detailing fees, exercise areas and obligations. Early indications suggested this has been well received.

## Microchipping

A new service for dog owners has been set up by way of a microchipping clinic at the Timaru District Pound. This service provides dog owners with a drop in service at weekends where on duty staff are available for microchipping and general advice.

## Recommendation

That this Dog Control report be received, and adopted and that, as required by the Act, the report be publicly notified and forwarded to the Secretary for Local Government.

